

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_47847
Title of the Manuscript:	
	Attitudes of Male Undergraduate Students Towards Choosing the Female Under/Graduate Students as Marriage Partner
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Your abstract is either misleading or inaccurate. You state that it is a "debate". However, you do not prove that. Research, yes. But debate? No. Either revise or prove.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 77 does not require "However".	
Optional/General comments	This is a good paper. I would revise the abstract to accurately reflect your study.	


<u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Garfield
Department, University & Country	University of Dubuque, USA





with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her