

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_47847
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitudes of Male Undergraduate Students Towards Choosing the Female Under/Graduate Students as Marriage Partners Within the H
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment and highlight that should write his/he
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a potentially interesting paper which needs some work before it can be published. First, it is wrong to say that the choice of a mate is the most important one made during life or that only one partner will ever be chosen, not least because of the relatively high rate of divorce among Hausa people.	
	The issue of agency will need to be considered; i.e., to what extent can men and women exercise freedom in choosing a partner in the context considered.	
	The sample size chosen should be justified. Which language or languages were used in the research? How was the questionnaire designed and tested? What is the possibility of non-response bias? How does the sample achieved match the overall population?	
	The answers given in the findings should be compared with figures for actual marriages and the relative levels of education for different partners, indofar as these figures can be identified.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2: Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback <u>here</u> Reviewer's comment (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	RMIT University Vietnam, Vietnam

Hausa-Folk

nent (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript at part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors her feedback here)