



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_50784
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIDIARRHOEAGENIC POTENTIALS OF SYNERGISTIC ACTIVITIES OF WATER EXTRACTS OF ALOE VERA AND HYPTIS SUAVEOLENS AGAINST GIARDIA LAMBLIA AND SALMONELLA SPECIES AMONG 0-5 YEARS IN BAUCHI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	<p>Reviewer's comment This manuscript is scientifically robust but not technically sound. Some corrections could be done to make the work technically upgraded.</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Could re-check to put REFERENCS at end of this write-up Into the accepted format for this Journal (AJBGE). 2. The form in which all the observed Results in this manuscript were put, such as (0.302±18.00), is a deviation from accepted format of (18.00 ± 0.302) that is mean ± SD, and correction need to effected. 3. Could state at end of the Materials and Methods Section: the – Statistical analysis and the statistical package(s) used. 4. Could describe more clearer the Materials and Methods in 5 Paragraphs to distinguish how data were obtained for each Table. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lines 4 to 6: --- of <i>Aloe vera</i> and <i>Hyptis suaveolens</i> <i>Giardia lamblia</i> and <i>Salmonella species</i> Infections Among Chidren 0-5 Years in Bauchi State, Nigeria Lines 22 to 23: the plants concentrations and culturing time periods were 2. Line 24: <i>Keywords: Plant materials, Giardia lamblia, Salmonella species, water extractions</i> 3. 1. INTRODUCTION 4. Line 42: asymptomatic, causing ; Line 51: to determine ; 5. 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS Line 57: <i>Salmonella sp.</i> ; Line 62: The filtrate was ; Line 63: required concentrations ; Line 64: powdered <i>Aloe vera</i> was soaked in ; Line 66: presence. Positively ; Line 67: detected 50 mg of stool samples were inoculated ; Line 74: proportions of solvent ; After Line 74: Samples were analysed in triplicates. (Could state here Statistical analysis and statistical package used). 6. 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Line 76: in Table 1, ; Line 77: alkaloids (Wagner's test) --- flavonoids, terpenoids alkaloids (Mayer's test) and phenols. In Table 1. Water (extraction medium) Flavonoids + + Terpenoids + + alkaloids (Wagner's test) + + alkaloids (Mayer's test) + + 7. Below Lines 89, 98, 119: Treatments (Concentrations) 8. Below Line 90: <i>mean ± standard error</i> (delete 'of') 9. Line 94: efficacy (0.643 ± 0.002) and time (0.719 ±0.002) were found when 10. In Lines 90, 99,110, 120: Could correct foot notes as follows – 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p><i>Each value is a mean ± standard error of three replicates. Means followed by different superscripts in the same column are significantly different from each other</i></p> <p>11. 4. CONCLUSION Line 124: combined, ; <i>Salmonella species.</i> ; Line 127: <i>Giardia lamblia</i> and <i>Aloe vera</i> have better activities than <i>Hyptis suaveolens</i>; while the combined 12. Lines 142 to 143: Could delete 'et al'. 13. Could draw the 5 Tables using 'insert Table, delete border, Merge cells etc.' tools of the computer</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Corrections could be carefully effected.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Grace O. Tona</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria</p>