SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_50784
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIDIARRHOEAGENIC POTENTIALS OF SYNERGISTIC ACTIVITIES OF WATER EXTRACTS OF ALOE VERA AND HYPTIS SUAVEOLENS AGAINST GIARDIA LAMBLIA AND SALMONELLA SPECIES AMONG 0-5 YEARS IN BAUCHI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The ABSTRACT is not written to the style of the journal.	
	Author should check style of references at end of article because several do not appear in the style of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The TABLES are useful and informative.	
	The subject matter is good.	
	The study presents the efficacy of several natural products.	
	After revision of ABSTRACT and references, the paper can be accepted.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)