



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_45793
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of the relationship between FOLH1 and DISC1 genes polymorphisms in RS61886494 and RS12133766 regions among schizophrenic patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors need to explain in the abstract and introduction why they have selected these two genes to investigate and what the literature to date says about these two genes.</p> <p>The sample seems much too small for genetic testing. It could perhaps be called a pilot study to see how findings compare with those from other parts of the world. A power calculation needs to be done to determine how large the sample needs to be in order to obtain meaningful results;</p> <p>Unsurprisingly (because of the small sample), the results appear to be non-significant. The authors need to apply specialized statistics to the sample and explain what they did – perhaps, since it has to be a pilot study at this point, they could restrict their comments to feasibility, acceptability of the research</p> <p>Perhaps they could address the ethics of genetic testing – who benefits, how</p> <p>The discussion is only to discuss results and compare them with what is already in the literature.</p> <p>These results (and other genetic results so far in schizophrenia) have no clinical utility so the conclusion should be only that the project showed that genetic research was feasible, that subjects appeared willing to volunteer..</p> <p>The English of the manuscript needs substantial improvement</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>It is important for different regions in the world to investigate candidate genes for schizophrenia since they are likely to differ in different genetic populations</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Authors should address the ethics of genetic sampling	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mary V. Seeman
Department, University & Country	University of Toronto, Canada