SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_50494
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS AND HORMONAL PROFILES IN WOMEN DIAGNOSED WITH INFERTILITY IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Minor REVISION comments	Ms AJBGMB 50494 can be accepted with some minor revisions: - the methodology used by the authors, CUPRAC assay is certainly a valid analytical method, but not from a complete view of the functionality of the anti-oxidant state that is affected by oxidative stress. The assay of SOD, GPx, GSH enzymes would give completeness to the presented investigation. the lipid peroxidation index obtained as the ratio of MDA: TAC could return non-real values, the authors could consider the dosage of isoprostanes as a quantifiable indication of the LPI data. - In the evaluation of stressors the authors excluded smoking patients, it is known that smoking induces oxidative stress to an equal or greater extent than alcohol consumption - The correction of some typing errors is necessary. - the bibliography must be integrated with more recent publications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Franco Cervellati
Department, University & Country	SVEB University of Ferrara, Italy

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)