Original Research Article

Gender, Educational Qualification and Ethnicity Differences in Personal Financial Management Practices Among Generati Y In Malaysia

Comment [r1]: You can adjust the title to give more intersest for the reader at once.

Comment [r2]: Better to do the proof reading

ABSTRACT

This paper examines gender, educational qualification and ethnicity differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia. The issue of this study concerns about the number of young generation identified as Generation Y who has been declared bankruptcy keep on increasing year-to-year. Therefore, this study focuses on Generation Y who was born from 1981 to 2001 and includes respondents from all the states of Malaysia. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25.0 (SPSS 25.0) was used to analyse a total of 119 valid questionnaires collected using the Chi-Square test. The findings displayed that only ethnicity differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia were found. The results of the study can be used as guidelines by the Malaysian Government agencies and policymakers. They can also enhance their knowledge regarding financial needs and education in order to improve peoples' personal financial management practices as well as being an alternative in finding the solution to control the bankruptcy problem among members of Generation Y in Malaysia.

Keywords: Gender; Educational Qualification; Ethnicity; Personal Financial Management Practices; Generation Y.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, around 40 percent (12,774,790) of Generation Y from total of Malaysian population (31,936,976) until November 2018 [11]. Based on the Asian Institute of Finance (AIF) [2] reported Generation Y is the next generation after Generation X comprises of people who born from 1981 to 2001. This indicated that Generation Y in Malaysia plays an important role in the overall growth of a developing country, which will soon dominate the consumer market after Generation X. Therefore, Generation Y in Malaysia should be cautious from the situation which can inconvenience their daily life and negatively affect towards Malaysian economy such as bankruptcy.

Unfortunately, the Generation Y in Malaysia were not well practice in their financial management. This supported by the reported published by the Malaysian Department of Statistics (MDI) [11] stated the total bankruptcy of cases youth (below the age 34 years old) in Malaysia who have been declared bankrupt from the year 2010 to 2017 was 41,913 cases. This showed that since 2010 to 2017, an average of 14 person of Generation Y in Malaysia was declared bankrupt on daily basis.

In addition, based on AIF [2] report also mentioned that Generation Y in Malaysia has a high possibility to declare bankruptcy due to them experiencing these events: (a) 38 percent had high-cost debts from personal borrowings, (b) 47 percent were engaged in accruing costly

credit card bills, (c) 75 percent had at least one source of the long-term loan, (d) 37 percent had more than one long-term debt liability, (e) 70 percent only paid the minimum monthly payments on their credit cards' commitments and (f) 45 percent did not pay their debts on time. Therefore, based on the statistics report, it can be concluded that Generation Y is the main target of group which the government should focus on to reduce household consumption. The main reason for failure among this particular Malaysian generation is the inability in managing personal finance which caused the high number of bankruptcy cases as well as the financial difficulties encountered by them. Therefore, Generation Y of Malaysia becomes the main target group as the respondents for this study due to these circumstances.

Furthermore, the MDI also reported the main causes of bankruptcy in Malaysia are due to the poor financial management practices and lack awareness regarding debts from 2012 until 2018 [11]. This supported by Manulife Investor Sentimex Index (MISI) [29] found that Malaysian have weak in financial management practices. Based on the scenario and problem facing by the Generation Y in Malaysia, can be concluded that the main reason for personal financial problem is the failure of personal financial management practices. Hence, is important to understand the lack of personal financial management practices would give good information regarding the Generation Y financial neads and also educated to improve their personal financial management practices. Additionally, as an alternative in find the solution to control the bankruptcy problem among the Generation Y in Malaysia. Thus, the objectives of this study were to examine gender, educational qualification and ethnicity differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Personal financial management practices are defined as processes that individuals use to manage their financial needs such as planning their finance, retirement, loans, and cash management for achieving financial wellbeing [13]. Moreover, personal financial management practices as the cumulative set of behaviours which comprise strategies, determination and decision that were related in the field of retirement, insurance, capital, credit and finance [10]. Previous study found that the key to achieve financial well-being is financial management [13,17,35]. Therefore, based on the findings by previous studies, it can be assumed that an individual that practices good personal financial management practices will eventually obtain a prosperous financial well-being.

Several studies have shown that education, gender and ethnicity are the most important role in financial decision making [6, 8, 30, 33, 34, 37]. Benjamin et al [4] and Yiing-Jia [36] also found that gender, ethnicity and education were the factors that influence individual's financial management practices. Therefore, education qualification, ethnicity and gender become independent variable for this study to examine differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia.

According to Hasler et [16] and Kim et al [23] different gender would have different sets of belief and practices performed regarding money. Previous studies also have found that different genders would create different outcome regarding practices on financial matters [7,18,23,27,32]. In addition, differences in gender also have been found give different impacts an individual's behaviour [25]. The conclusion of results found that there is a difference between female and male when it comes to financial matters.

Furthermore, previous studies also found that different qualifications of education have different financial practices [3,5,19,26,36]. According to Lusardi [26], low-education person usually don't have a financial plan that led them not to accumulate wealth and fear to invest

in high-risk return assets. Study by Yiing-Jia [36] found that person with low education have weak financial management while person with high education have good financial management. This leads to the conclusion that when it comes to dealing with financial issues, there is a difference between educational qualifications.

Moreover, studies by Yiing-Jia [36], Leila et al [24] and Jariah et al [20] found that different ethnic group would have different practices on financial matters. Leila et al [20] state each of ethnic group has their own life style, philosophy and in turn differences in finance practices. This leads to the conclusion that in the handling of financial matters there is a difference between ethnicity groups. This study therefore presents the hypothesis as follows;

- H1: Gender differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia.
- H2: Education qualification differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia.
- H3: Ethnicity differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

Both deductive and quantitative approaches were used in this study. A cross-sectional survey design was used to examine gender, education and ethnicity differences in the practices of personal financial management by using convenience sampling techniques under non-probability sampling. The tool used for this study for data collection was an online survey method.

3.2 Population and Sampling

According to AIF [2] Generation Y is the generation that born between 1981 and 2001. Therefore, the study was carried out by respondents who were born between 1981 and 2001 and must be residents in Malaysia. For the sample size of respondents, this study used G*Power 3.1 software [12] to calculate the sample size of respondents. The sample size was calculated according to these parameters as suggested by Hair et al., [15]; medium effect size of 0.15, alpha (α) value of 0.05, maximum power of (1- β) 0.95 and based on three predictors. The sample of 119 sample respondents consisting of Generation Y in Malaysia using G*Power software was adequately proposed.

3.3 Variables Measurements

A tool comprising of eight items was adopted from Joo and Garman [22] and Ansar et al [1] to measure personal financial management practices for this study, All items used a 5-point Likert scale that contain these ranges for examining the degree of personal financial management practices: 1 (Strongly Disagreement), 2 (Disagreement), 3 (Neither), 4 (Agreement) and 5 (Strongly Agreement).

3.4 Data Analysis Method

Comment [r3]: Problem statement is missing You have to define dependent and Independent variables clearly.

Comment [r4]: Hypotheses should write in the following way: as a eg:

Use the following link to get knowledge of how you can write hypotheses;

https://www.scribbr.com/research-process/hypotheses/

Write hypotheses;

If your research involves statistical testing, you will also have to write a null hypothesis. The null hypothesis is the default position that there is no association between the variables. The null hypothesis is written as H0, while the alternative hypothesis is H1.

H0: The number of lectures attended by firstyear students has no effect on their final exam scores.

H1: The number of lectures attended by firstyear students has a positive effect on their final exam scores.

At least you have to correctly write down the alternate hypotheses.

Comment [r5]:

Both are same giving same meaning

And follow this:

A deductive approach usually begins with a hypothesis, whilst an inductive approachwill usually use research questions to narrow the scope of the study. ...

Inductive approaches are generally associated with qualitative research, whilst deductive approaches are more commonly associated with quantitative research.

More details can get:

https://www.slideshare.net/hallidayhannah/quantitati ve-qualitative-inductive-and-deductive-research

Comment [r6]: Hiow many are in your target population?

Comment [r7]: Without population how you count the sample?

Comment [r8]: You have total of 4 variables then you need to measure thoase 4 with your research intrumnent:

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 25.0 (SPSS 25.0) was employed for entering all the collected data as well as measuring the frequency of each variable to analyse the data within this study using Chi-Square tests.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

percent are the lowest holders of PhD degrees.

Table 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Demographic Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	66	55.5
	Female	53	44.5
Ethnicity	Malay	31	26.1
	Chinese	31	26.1
	Indian	21	17.6
	Bumiputera Sabah	14	11.8
	Bumiputera Sarawak	16	13.4
	Others	6	5.0
Educational Qualification	SPM And Below	11	9.2
	STPM / Diploma	21	17.6
	Bachelor's Degree	60	50.4
	Master's Degree	24	20.3
	Doctoral Degree	3	2.5
	Total	119	100

Table 1 shows the demographic variables of the total 119 respondents. The respondent consists of 66 male (55.5 percent) and 53 female (44.5 percent). As Table 1 shows, a most respondents are of Malay and Chinese ethnicity with 26.1 percent followed by Indian with 17.6 percent, Bumiputera Sarawak with 13.4 percent, Bumiputera Sabah with 11.8 percent and the others with 5 percent. Meanwhile, they ranked accordingly for the educational

Comment [r9]: SPM & STPM cannot identify

Table 2: Gender, ethnicity and educational qualification and personal financial management practices

qualification; 50.4 percent of respondents hold a Bachelor, 20.3 percent have Master's degree, 17.7 percent are holders of STPM/Diploma, 9.2 percent are holders of SPM and 2.5

		Personal Financial Management Practice			Total	
		Low	Medium	High		
Gender	Male	11	42	13	66	
Gender	Female	8	34	11	53	
Total		19	76	24	119	
	Malay	12	11	8	31	
	Chinese	7	12	12	31	
Ethnicity	Indian	3	16	2	21	
Group	Bumiputera Sabah	1	9	4	14	
	Bumiputera Sarawak	3	12	1	16	
	Others	1	4	1)	6	
Total		27	64	28	119	
	SPM and Below	2	8	1	11	
	STPM /Diploma	4 ,	13	4	21	
Educational Qualification	Bachelor's Degree	9	38	13	60	
~aa	Master's Degree	4	15	5	24	
	Doctoral Degree	0	2	1	3	
Total		19	76	24	119	

Table 3: CHI Square test summary (Pearson Chi-Square)

Н	Relationship	Value	Df	Sig. (2- sided)	0.5% Level of Significance
	Gender ->				
H1	Personal financial	0.063	2	0.969	5.991
	management practices				
	Educational Qualifications ->				
H2	Personal financial	2.635	8	0.985	15.507
	management practices				
	Ethnicity ->				
H3	Personal financial	20.026	10	0.029	18.307
	management practices				

Table 3 shows that the gender effect value on personal financial management practice is 0.063 which is obtained by using Chi-Square's calculated value. The five percent significance tabulated value is 5.5991. Based on the result, the hypotheses H1 are rejected as the calculated value of 0.063 is less than the tabulated five percent value of 5.5991.

Based on Table 3, the finding of Chi-Square value for the effect of education qualification on personal financial management practices evaluated using Chi-Square's calculated value is 2.635, whereas the five percent of significance tabulated value is 15.507. As a result, hypothesis H2 is rejected as the calculated value of 2.635 is lower than the tabulated value of 15.507.

Furthermore, the Chi-Square value for the effect of ethnicity on personal financial management practice estimated by using calculated value of Chi-Square is 20.026 (See Table 3). Meanwhile, the tabulated value using five percent of significance is 18.307. Thus, hypotheses H3 is accepted as the calculated value of 20.026 is greater than the tabulated value of 18.307 as reported in the results of Table 3.

5. DISCUSSION

This study found that H1 was not accepted, which gender no differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia. The results show that both gander male and female of Generation Y in Malaysia have the same response to personal financial management practices. Therefore, the finding is inconsistent with previous studies which discovered that different genders would have different practices on the aspect of financial matter [7,18,23,27,28,32]. It means that both male and female of Generation Y in Malaysia have the same confidence sets and behaviours when it comes to dealing with financial matters.

Furthermore, this study also found that there are no differences in personal financial management practices between Generation Y in Malaysia in educational qualification (H2). Therefore, the result in this study is in contrast with previous studies which have shown that different educational qualification would result in different personal financial management practices [3,5,19,26,36]. Particularly, individual with higher education and individual with lower education have same practices when dealing with financial matters.

Finally, this study also found that ethnicity differences (H3) in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia. This particular finding is reliable with previous studies showing that different ethnic groups would have different financial practices [20,24,36]. It shows that different ethnic have own way to managing their money and their personal financial management practices. Therefore, Malaysian Government should assist each of ethnicity groups differently that have difficulty in handing their financial matter

6. CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

In summary, only ethnicity differences in personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia. While both gender and educational qualifications do not have differences personal financial management practices among Generation Y in Malaysia. Based on the finding of the studies, each of ethnicity in Malaysia has their own preferences in practice of personal financial management. This indicated that the level of knowledge Generation Y in Malaysia financial education is not same because each ethnicity has its own financial background environment. Therefore, Malaysian government should establish new strategy a new strategy in a financial education system that will enhance all ethnicity groups in one education system. In addition, the findings can contribute to the body of knowledge to gain a better understanding of the personal financial management practice. This will indirectly give better input in the finding of solutions to reduce the level of bankruptcy facing among the Generation Y in Malaysia currently. The author suggests expanding to others factors for future research, for instance, marital status differences, religious differences, income differences; this can assist in identify more appropriate factors that differences in personal financial management practices among the Generation Y.

REFERENCES

- Ansar R, Karim MRA, Osman Z, Fahmi MS. The impacts of Future Orientation and Financial Literacy On Personal Financial Management Practices Among Generation Y in Malaysia. The Moderating Role of Gender. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting. 2019;12(1): 1-10.
- 2. Asian Institute of Finance. Finance Matters: Understanding Generation Y Bridging The Knowledge Gap of Malaysia Millennials. 2015.
- Attanasio O. Personal Saving in the United States. In J. Poterba (ed.), International Comparisons of Household Saving, Chicago, University of Chicago Press: 1995:54-123.
- Benjamin Chan YF, Jariah M, Tengku AH, Laily P. Financial Wellbeing of Older Peninsular Malaysians: A Gender Comparison, Asian Social Science. 2010;6(3): 58-71
- Bernheim BD, Scholz JK. Private Saving and Public Policy, Tax Policy and the Economy 7. 1993;73-110.
- Chaulk B, Johnson PJ, Bulcroft R. Effects of Marriage and Children on Financial Risk Tolerance: A Synthesis of Family Development and Prospect Theory. Journal of Family and Economics Issues. 2003;24(3).
- Chen H, Volpe RP. Gender Differences in Personal Financial Literacy Among College Students. Financial Service Review. 2002;11:289-307.
- 8. Chen H, Volpe RP. An Analysis of Personal Financial Literacy Among College Students. Financial Service Review. 1998;7(2):107 128.
- Danes SM, Hira TK. Money Management Knowledge Of College Students. Journal Of Student Financial Aid. 1987;17(1):4 - 16.
- Deacon RE, Firebaugh FM. 1988. Family Resource Management: Principles and applications, Toronto: Allyn and Bacon. 1988.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. Bankruptcy Statistics Laporan Tahunan Undangundang. 2018.
- 12. Erdfelder, Edgar, Franz F, Axel B, Albert Gl. Statistical Power Analyses Using G*Power 3.1: Tests for Correlation and Regression Analyses. Behavior Research Methods. 2009; 41(4):1149–60.

- Garman ET, Forgue RE. Personal Finance. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company. 2006.
- Grable JE, Lytton RH. The Development of A Risk Assessment Instrument: A Follow-Up Study. Financial Sendees Review. 2003;12:257-274.
- 15. Hair JF, Hult GT, Ringle C, Sarstedt M. 2016. A Primer On Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-Sem) (2nd Edition). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publication. 2016.
- Hasler A, Lusardi A. The Gender Gap In Financial Literacy: A Global Perspective. 2017.
- 17. Hilgert MA, Hogarth JM, Beverly Sg. Household Financial Management: The Connection Between Knowledge and Behavior. Federal Reserve Bulletin (July). 2003:309-322.
- 18. Hira TK, Mugenda OM. Gender Differences in Financial Perceptions, Behaviors and Satisfaction. Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning. 2000;13(2): 86 92.
- Hubbard, Glenn, Skinner J, Zeldes S. Precautionary Saving and Social Insurance. Journal of Political Economy 1995;103: 360-399.
- Jariah M, Husna S, Tengku Aizan TAH, Rahimah I. Financial Practices And Problems Amongst Elderly In Malaysia. Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences And Humanities. 2012;20(4), 1065-1084.
- Joo S. Personal Financial Wellness and Worker Job Productivity. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 1998
- 22. Joo S, Garman ET. Personal Financial Wellness May Be The Missing Factor In Understanding and Reducing Worker Absenteeism. Personal Finances and Worker Productivity. 1998;2(2):172-182.
- Kim J, Lataillade J, Kim H. Family Processes and Adolescents' Financial Behaviors. Journal of Family and Economic Issues, 2011;32:668-679.
- 24. Leila F, Hamidreza B, Laily P. Ethnic and Gender In Differences In Financial Management Among College Students. Journal of American Science. 2011;7(6).
- 25. Loch KD, Conger S. Evaluating Ethical Decision Making And Computer Use. Communications Of The ACM. 1996; 39(7):74 83.
- Lusardi A. Planning and Saving For Retirement. 2003.
- Lusardi A, Tufano P. Debt Literacy, Financial Experiences, and Over Indebtedness, Journal of Pension Economics and Finance, Cambridge University Press, 2015;14(04): 332-368.
- 28. Lyons AC. A Profile of Financially At-Risk College Students. The Journal of Consumer Affairs. 2004;38(1): 56-80.
- Manulife Investor Sentiment Index. Malaysian Investors Most Indebted In Asia Manulife Survey. 2016. Available: Https://Www.Theedgemarkets.Com/Article/Malaysian-Investors-Most-Indebted-Asia-Manulife-Survey.
- 30. O'neill B, Xiao JJ, Bristow B, Brennan P, Kerbel CM. Successful Financial Goal Attainment: Perceived Resource and Obstacles. Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning. 2000;11(1).
- 31. Sabri MF. Pathways To Financial Success: Determinants of Financial Literacy And Financial Well-Being Among Young Adults. 2011.
- 32. Shim S, Barber BB, Card NA. Financial Socialization of First-year College Students: The Roles of Parents, Work, and Education. Journal Youth Adolescence. 2009.
- 33. Sung J, Hanna SD. Factors Related To Risk Tolerance. Financial Counseling and Planning. 1996;7.
- 34. Wang C, Hanna SD. The Risk Tolerance and Stock Ownership of Business Owning Household. Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning. 2007;18(2).

- 35. Xiao JJ, Tang C, Shim S. Acting For Happiness: Financial Behavior and Life Satisfaction of College Students. Social Indicator Research. 2009;92(53):53-68.
- 36. Yiing-Jia, L. The Influence of Socio-Demographic and Financial Knowledge Factors on Financial Management Practices of Malaysians. International Journal of Business and Society. 2017;18(10):33-50.
- 37. Zhong LX, Xiao JJ. Determinants of Family Bond and Stock Holdings. Financial Counseling and Planning. 1995;6:107–114.