SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEE_49870**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Quantitative Models for Clean Water Investigation in Malaysia's River Basin**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The focus and reason of study were explained clearly. Sentences are clear and understandable. There are fluency between sections. In my opinion, the paper is acceptable but needs minor revisions. The contribution to existing knowledge and organization and readability is good but soundness of methodology and the clearness of methodology is weak. This part should be developed. The evidence supports conclusion and adequacy of literature review is weak too. Many studies conducted by similar methods or different methods should be given in the conclusion part.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Oylum Gokkurt Baki*
Department, University & Country	*Sinop University, Turkey*