



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_50307
Title of the Manuscript:	GENDER INFLUENCE ON STUDENT'S PERCEPTION ABOUT MULTIPLE REPRESENTATIONS IN LEARNING CHEMISTRY AT NIGERIAN SECONDARY SCHOOL.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relevant but adjusted to make it more meaningful as indicated in the manuscript.</li> </ul> <p><b>Abstract</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Well done</li> <li>❖ However, correct the single error indicated in manuscript.</li> </ul> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Correct the grammar errors and sentence structures.</li> <li>❖ Fairly articulated.</li> </ul> <p><b>Statement of the Problem</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Fairly done.</li> <li>❖ Correct the grammar errors.</li> </ul> <p><b>Purpose of the Study</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Fair</li> </ul> <p><b>Research Questions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Should be smartly stated.</li> </ul> <p><b>Research Hypothesis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ State it smartly to bring out clearly the independent and dependent variables.</li> <li>❖ Provide both null and alternative hypothesis.</li> </ul> <p><b>Methodology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Correct the grammar errors.</li> </ul> <p><b>Instrumentation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Fairly articulated but correct the few grammar errors and mistakes.</li> </ul> <p><b>Procedure for administration of the questional</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Correct the mistakes indicated in the manuscript.</li> </ul> <p><b>Results</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Correct the mistakes indicated in the manuscript.</li> <li>❖ There is no data on ANOVA.</li> <li>❖ Under T-test, the results indicate that this was an independent t-test but not paired t-test. Hence there is need to recast the findings.</li> </ul> <p><b>Discussion and Findings</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Fair</li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Correct the single mistake as indicated in the manuscript.</li> </ul> <p><b>Reference</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Satisfactory</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	N/A	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	N/A	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Joseph O. mukolwe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science &amp; Technology, Kenya</b>