



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_50794
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTIVENESS OF STRATEGIC INTERVENTION MATERIAL IN MATHEMATICS AS REMEDIATION FOR GRADE 9 STUDENTS IN SOLVING PROBLEMS INVOLVING QUADRATIC FUNCTIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Citation: between authors and year there should be ", " Method: The abstract is mentioned using a quasi-experimental design. However, in the method, research design is not yet clear Data analysis not yet clear Result: Table 1, there are no pretest results of the two groups Theory: Teaching tool, SIM and Grade 9 Learner's Material. Parts of the SIM is quite clear. But the parts of Grade 9 Learner's Material have not been explained	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Baiduri
Department, University & Country	University of Muhammadiyah Malang, East Java, Indonesia