



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_49862
Title of the Manuscript:	CONDITION FACTORS OF TILAPIA SPECIES FOUND IN THE SOMBREIRO RIVER ACROSS THREE COMMUNITIES IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title can be change as:</b> "Stomach content, length-weight relationship and condition factor of <i>Tilapia</i> species found in the Sombreiro River across three communities in rivers state, Nigeria"</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b>clearly define the period of the study, the conclusion must be a little summary; Keywords: to review, see my proposal</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> You have only described the context of the study. What problem do you want to deal with? Where are the objectives of this work? Incomplete introduction in general</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b> the study area was omitted; must be restructured (see the manuscript). You must use the allometric coefficient b of the weight / length relationship obtained in each station to calculate the condition factor K in each station, to be sure of the reliability of the results</p> <p><b>Results and discussion:</b> Present results by species to facilitate understanding. You can present the 2 curves side by side to form a single figure; for each month. Announce your tables or figures before describe the results on most of the results. There is too much confusion between presenting the results and discussing the results, especially for the condition factor k</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Physico-chemical parameters were omitted in conclusion. the conclusion is very messy</p> <p><b>References:</b> At the beginning of introduction much still exists of affirmation without references nevertheless they exist. Follow the guide for writing journal references both in the manuscript and in the references section. e.g. reference [20] should be [1] and [22] should be [2]; the references follow the chronological order of appearance.</p> <p>To summarize, this work is very messy in all its parts. It can only be accepted and published in this journal if and only if the author rewrites it</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	See the manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tiogué Tekounegning Claudine</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The University of Dschang, Cameroon</b>