



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_50215
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL QUALITY DIFFERENTIAL, GROWTH AND ECONOMICS EFFICIENCY OF SOME SELECTED COMMERCIAL FLOATING FISH FEEDS IN SAKI WEST OYO STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-To compare feed efficiency by looking at FCR, precise feeding technique must be used to obtain correct feed intake and FCR respectively. In this study, the author had used 5 percent body weight by assuming that feed was taken by the fish which unlikely to be true.</li> <li>-Table 3 is a key information for this manuscript but lacking of unit information. Thus hard to understand.</li> <li>-FCR much less than 1, this need a good explain and need to compare with general information for the same species.</li> <li>-Statistical analysis was not incorporated in the table.</li> <li>-Initial weight was not provide and compare to ensure that the experiment began with the similar size of fish.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Minor error such as in text citation</li> <li>-Stoking density should be provided</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author conducted feeding trial to compared 6 different feed formulas. However, it appears unclear data was present in the manuscript.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rakpong Petkam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Khon Kaen University, Thailand</b>