



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_50910
Title of the Manuscript:	Hanging Ratio Gillnets on Different Mesh Size for Mackerel (<i>Scomberomorus commerson</i>) in Pangandaran, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Results and discussion</p> <p>The result is more of literature review. I cannot clearly see how you analysed your data obtained from the questionnaire you administered. Please include a table that will show analysis of your questionnaire result.</p> <p>Table 1</p> <p>For me it look like a literature note obtained from Department of Fisheries, Marine and Food Security Pangandaran Regency 2016. Your results obtained is not from fishermen, which mean your research is a secondary result obtained.</p> <p>For me, this study should have been for review publication rather than original article publication. The authors did not show results from their research work erg result from questionnaire.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Because this result obtained is not from questionnaire as the Authors stated. It needs requires thorough scrutiny before it can be published.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mamoud Mansaray
Department, University & Country	Nanjing Agricultural University, China