



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_50236
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and associated factors of urinary schistosomiasis among basic school children in the Akyemansa District, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Materials and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the study area clearly presenting a map of the Akyemansa district, indicating the points where data was collected. How was the sample size of 504 participants determined? Show clearly the calculation of the sample size. Describe how participants were recruited to ensure a true representation of the different communities. <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Univariate analysis was used to assess the association between <i>Schistosoma haematobium</i> infection and demographic variables. The author(s) should also perform a multivariate (multiple logistic regression) analysis of risk factors adjusting for possible confounders. <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The last paragraph (lines 219 – 226) is not very clear. It is difficult for someone who is not from Ghana to make out these different areas to which your findings are compared to. <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Verify all the references for their correctness. For example, in ref 10 and lines 156, 169, the names of the authors are wrongly spelled. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 40: ...the most vulnerable and heavily infected age group. Line 201: verify if Kigogo administrative ward is correct. 	
Optional/General comments	The author(s) investigated the prevalence and associated factors of urinary schistosomiasis among pupils in the Akyemansa District in Ghana. The findings are of interest. The manuscript is generally well written with just a few grammatical errors that will need to be corrected before the manuscript is publishable. My specific comments to improve on the quality of the manuscript are as above;	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tebit Kwenti Emmanuel</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Buea, Cameroon</i>