



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_50443
Title of the Manuscript:	A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY ON THE APPRAISAL OF CONVENTIONAL CAUSE AND EFFICACY OF CONTINUOUS PHOTOTHERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH NEONATAL JAUNDICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Congratulation for study. It is original and necessary. But, the article appearance more a project than a scientific article. I solicit the at authors that improve the structure of the article according to journal recommendation.</p> <p>The methods aren't explained mode satisfactorily. There are missing of statistical analyses. The authors need the help of a statistic or epidemiology professional.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karen Cordovil
Department, University & Country	Brazil