



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_50627
Title of the Manuscript:	ATTITUDE OF FINAL YEAR MEDICAL STUDENTS AND HOUSE OFFICERS TO OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY SURGERY TRAINING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors analysed the frequency and the reason of choosing otolaryngology of last year medical students and house officers at their university in Nigeria. They report that a small number of students was interested in ORL, related to previous clinical contact, but not related to a good tutor relationship. Otolaryngology is a supra-specialization, places for residency are very few all over the world. The authors also confirm that there are 4 places per year offered for ORL residency. I suggest to open discussion a little bit more including the small number o places (you might include the ratio number of places for residency for ORL comparing o all places and comparing to surgery). Also you can report the ratio of candidates for place at selection, comparing to other specialities they probably will find that there is a high ratio candidate/place for ORL....what mostly makes the candidate change to another speciality where it is "easier" to get a place. Also, as an ENT, you have to make a huge investment for your clinic(specific instruments for clinical examination, "uncommon" surgical instruments, which are not shared to other areas) not all cities will be able to receive an ENT (mostly for hospital infrastructure, as not all hospitals will have conditions to perform anesthesia in children, with manipulation of the upper airway). The authors just comment on the relation "previous experience and choice of ORL", which certainly is important, but not complete. So, the other aspects should also be mentioned in the discussion. Abstract should contain all chapters, please complete results and conclusion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The text is well written and structured.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	The article discusses the possible reasons for the choice of residency, focusing on otolaryngology, a supra-speciality which offers few places for residency all over the world. It is an interesting report, bringing local difficulties and the analysis certainly will help to improve to find more candidates or maybe open more places for residency. However, some important aspects were not included in the discussion, as suggested above.	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silke Weber
Department, University & Country	Botucatu Medical School, UNESP, Brazil