


SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_51105
Title of the Manuscript:	TONSILLECTOMY IN ADULTS: ANALYSIS OF INDICATIONS AND OUTCOME IN SOKOTO, NORTH WESTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study describes the retrospective analysis of tonsillectomy in adults. The study is well divided, aims a re exposed clearly. Introduction brings a good overview. Results were well presented and discussed. I have just some minor suggestion. Was the study submitted /registered by the local ethic commission? This should be mentioned in "methods". You also excluded patients submitted to tonsillectomy due to tonsillar abscess, however, this is a common relative indication for surgery. Why did you exclude these patients? Please complete this information in the text. In the chapter " results" figure 2 is not necessary , as it repeats the same information given in the text. When you refer to follow-up, you don't mention the time frame of follow-up. As you mention diagnosis of carcinoma as follow-up, I wonder if this was the histologic result of the patients submitted to tonsillectomy due to suspicion of neoplasia, please explain.	
Minor REVISION comments	none	
Optional/General comments	Nice report of the demographic characteristics of patients to tonsillectomy in Sokoto, Nigeria.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wind that part in the manuscript. It is na feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Silke Anna Theresa Weber
Department, University & Country	Botucatu Medical School, UNESP, Brazil