



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_51105
Title of the Manuscript:	TONSILLECTOMY IN ADULTS: ANALYSIS OF INDICATIONS AND OUTCOME IN SOKOTO, NORTH WESTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>All figures used in this article are repetitions of what is found in the text – redundancy.. You can say “ Male to female ratio is as shown in fig 1” Figure 4 has not been referenced in the text and is adding minimal value to the message – consider redacting</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Line 3 – Consider adding 'retrospective' to the title Line 72-74 – since your study also analyzes outcome/complications, you need to delve deeper into the background of complication in the same way you did for indications – introduction is heavily biased towards indications Line 84-86 "Majority of the patients had..." move this to results section Line 87 – indicate the method of analysis and software used Line 114 – define 'pain' as a complication – what was the threshold that differentiates it from normal post op pain? Line 139-142 – is unclear, needs clarity to relay the message	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The Abstract especially the results section can be shortened to only include the major findings Line 156 – remove S "There are numerous observational studies that reports" Line 158-164 – needs to be contextualized to your result findings Line 194-195 – the sentence does not rhyme with your study – and check "No mortality WAS..."	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Winston Makanga</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Embu Children Hospital, Kenya</b>