



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_50881
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILZER ON THE EARLY GROWTH RESPONSE OF Afzelia Africana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <p>1] Use treatment numbers after first definition.</p> <p>2] Lines 15-16: was applied two 2 weeks...?</p> <p>3] Line 22: ranged from 9 in the control treatment to 14 – What was the unit?</p> <p>4] Keywords: Add 2-3 more keywords of relevance.</p> <p>5] It is suggested to use recent literature [2015-2019] in place of older references [1980-2010] in the introduction.</p> <p>6] Highlight the present study with proper justification and scope at the end of introduction.</p> <p>7] Line 72: Correct the spelling of “venier caliper”</p> <p>8] Methodology is insufficient in terms of analytical details of physicochemical parameters. The details of analyses with references must be included.</p> <p>9] Units for Figures 5 and 6?</p> <p>10] The results observed were very meagre for a full length article. It is suggested to include some more components to the results and discussion section. For example, correlation of physico-chemical parameters with that of plant growth parameters observed.</p> <p>12] The discussion section is very weak, i.e., relevant and more recent literature is missing, Add recent literature [2015-2019] and provide more discussion part in support of the present study.</p> <p>13] Conclusion is more general. Highlight the present study findings and future perspectives of the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natchimuthu Karmegam
Department, University & Country	Government Arts College(Autonomous), India