SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_47990
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Ionic Activity Coefficient of ionic salt on Demulsification of soy Lecithin Oil-Water Emulsion
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title 1) Kindly check the title again with possibility of reframing it. Do you mean lecithin oil-water emulsion, soybean based lecithin oil-water emulsion, or soybean oil-water emulsion? This distinction becomes important as it will guide abstract and methods/procedures	THIS THE TECUDACK HOTE)
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract 1) Abbreviations are defined in their first usages (line 7) 2) "a lot of scope" is too vague (line 7). Please recap. 3) O-W or O/W (line 7 and others). Be consistent. 4) "the proposed work" (line 8 & 9). Which work? Be specific. 5) "The experiment was aimed" (line 10). Which experiment? Be specific. 6) Represent pH (line 14 and others) as it should. 7) Does ionic activity coefficient have unit? (line 13). Please check. 8) "Thus, the overall observations(line 22-26). Which observations? Be specific Introduction 1) The leading sentence (line 31 & 32) is ambiguous. Applicable also to statement credited to reference no.1. (line 35 & 36) 2) What does " mM" (line 40 & 41) mean? 3) "The above cited (line 78)?? 4) Move line 93-95 to the appropriate section (discussion) Materials and methods 1) No reference cited in the whole of this section?? 2) "All the chemicals were purchased from Merck suppliers" could suite line 99 & 100. 3) Unit of weight?? (line 102). And do you mean soybean seed? Check other lines 4) Soxhlet?? (line 103). Also LR?? Do you mean n-hexane? 5) Sampling?? (line 109). Emulsion preparation detailed in Table 1 does not fit the heading used. How do the inorganic compounds relate with other parameters of Table 1?? 6) Kindly specify the amount used against V/V or V/W (line 115-117). 7) The colloidal emulsion was allowed to stand at 25-28°C. (line 119). How long? Please specify 9) What is "double distilled water"? (line128). 10) Line 128-130 is ambiguous. Also, can dissolution of any salt in 100 ml of distilled water give 0.001M of the salt solution?? 11) Double check the unit in line 132. Also details of line 133 are necessary. Results and discussion 1) Is there no any work in this area? Results obtained in this work should be compared with other similar scholarly works and any notable variation should be adequately accounted for.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	 Conclusion should dwell more on whether the objective of this work had been achieved, and make useful suggestion if there is need to explore other methods in achieving the stated objective. 	
Optional/General comments	 Pay attention to details especially in the materials and methods section. Also cite references where applicable. A thorough review of similar scholarly works on the subject area and incorporating them in the discussion section will enrich this manuscript. 	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F. J. Owuna
Department, University & Country	Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)