



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_48032
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, Characterization and Antimicrobial Activity of Metal Complexes of N-(4-methoxybenzylidene) isonicotinohydrazone Schiff base
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Similar work is known in the literature, see the following paper, hence the novelty level of this work is low World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2016, 5, 1153-1161 However, the Cu complex was not explored in the previous study and hence the current work may be published after some revision The above mentioned paper must be cited and commented in the text, please highlight the novelty and importance of your work with respect to the previous work. What advantages your work has? Antimicrobial assay: the concentration of standard compound, test ligand and complexes used must be mentioned. Why only two strains were used? Conclusion: rewrite the sentence as ".....all metal complexes show better antibacterial activity than ligand."	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The source of <i>Escherichia coli</i> ( <i>E. coli</i> ) and <i>Bacillus Cereus</i> ( <i>B. cereus</i> ) strains should be mentioned in the experimental section.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Manojit Pal
Department, University & Country	Dr Reddy's Institute of Life Sciences, University of Hyderabad, India