



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_50241
Title of the Manuscript:	Linear Time Series Model Selection: The Out-of-Sample Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The manuscript title is vague and should be adjusted to " Linear Time Series Model Selection on Predicting Nigeria Exchange Rates (2002-2018)</li> <li>(ii) The author should rewrite the abstract correctly i.e. an abstract should be written as one paragraph summarizing what readers expect in the manuscripts. It also means that the various sections such as "background", "aim", "material and methods" etc... must not be found in the abstract.</li> <li>(iii) The aim of this study should be to select the best linear time series model suitable to predict Nigeria exchange rates for the period 2002-2018.</li> <li>(iv) The author should include section 2.0 'Literature Review'.</li> <li>(v) Section 3.0 should be Methodology and not "material and methods".</li> <li>(vi) There is a section on results and discussion. However, no discussion is found in the manuscript. This means that all figures and tables should be accompanied by discussions of the results. This makes the section becoming "Results and discussions" because we expect more that one in each case.</li> <li>(vii) Any research is accompanied by recommendations, hence the researcher is requested to include a section on recommendations.</li> <li>(viii) The manuscripts has got so many spelling mistakes and grammatical errors which should be attended to.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The purpose of the study is the same as the aim of the study. So, the author should not use a purpose of the study which is different from the aim as it is found under 3.3.</li> <li>(ii) The author is encouraged to use an APA referencing style commonly used nowadays.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leonidas Ngendakumana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Africa University, Zimbabwe</b>