



SDI Review Form 1.6

1

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_50748
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical Study on Micropolar Nanofluid Flow over an Inclined Surface by Means of Keller-Box
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1) The abstract is confuse, mainly, the definition of nanofluid. It should better written. In general, the text must be improved, doing a rigorous English proofing.</p> <p>2) The lines 35 and 36 of the Introduction are written in a different font</p> <p>3) The text at lines 37 and 38 is without sense: 'Nanofluid flow over a slanted extending surface concentrated by Sandeep and Kumar [5].'</p> <p>4) The legibility of Figures is poor. Improve it.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	João Batista Campos Silva
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, UNESP, Brazil