



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_47840
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF ETHNO-VETERINARY PRACTICES AMONG CATTLE HERDERS IN ZURU, KEBBI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Although the introductory part is a bibliographic one, it does not mean copying another work almost entirely. Is plagiarism.</p> <p>Almost all the introduction part is based on the work from 2008. Only one example where the phrase is identical : A large number of herdsmen rely on a range of ethno-veterinary practices to keep their livestock healthy. These traditional animal practices include the use of medicinal plants, surgical techniques and management practices to prevent and treat a wide range of diseases and problems encountered by livestock farmers [7]. 7. Nwude, N. (1997). Ethno-veterinary Pharmacology and Ethno-veterinary Practices in Nigeria: A Review. <i>Tropical Veterinarian</i>, 5: 117-123</p> <p>"A large number of herdsmen rely on a range of ethno-veterinary practices to keep their livestock healthy. These traditional animal healthcare practices include the use of medicinal plants, surgical techniques and management practices to prevent and treat a wide range of diseases and problems encountered by livestock farmers "(ITDG & IIRR, 1996; Nwude, 1997).(https://www.ajol.info/index.php/sokjvs/article/view/72750/61666, (Neils et al.,2008,) Even the references that the authors of this scientific work, quote, are from the same work copied. There are not two people of the same mind. It gives me the impression that the authors did not study the entire existing bibliography at the end of the paper.</p> <p>Rethink the introduction part</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Majority with lowercase</p> <p>Table 4 Ssorgum remove one s; Z.maize replace with Z.Mays</p> <p>Legend under table 4: Contagious Bovine Pleurop-neumonia replace with Contagious Bovine Pleuro-pneumonia</p> <p>Pg 7 row 131. revealed replaced with:reveales that</p> <p>Pg. 7 Row 137-140. Reformulate the phrase</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. The introductory part is almost identical to a work written in 2008 by Neils et al</p> <p>https://www.ajol.info/index.php/sokjvs/article/view/72750/61666</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>El Mahdy Cristina</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, România</i>