

Original Research Article

CONSUMERS' AWARENESS REGARDING THE EFFECT OF ANTIBIOTIC USED IN ANIMAL FEED ON HUMAN HEALTH

ABSTRACT

The main aim of the study were to determine the consumers' awareness regarding the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on human health and to explore relationship between the selected characteristics of the respondent consumers and their awareness. The study was conducted at Mohammad Nagar residential area under Batiaghata upazila of Khulna district and Nirala residential area of Khulna City Corporation, Khulna, Bangladesh following descriptive and diagnostic type of research design. Forty respondents from each of the residential areas were interviewed as the sample of the study and data were collected through personal interview method using an interview schedule by the researcher herself during within/between January–February, 2019. Most (80%) of the respondents were highly aware while only one fifth (20%) of the respondents had medium awareness about the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on human health. Consumers were highly aware about that resistance is grown in pathogenic organisms causing diseases in human body against antibiotics which that were used in patient treatment; thus, resulting in treatment failure. But However, consumers were less aware about allergic reaction and painful rash, which are possible with many antibiotics. The mean awareness score of the consumers residing at Nirala was higher than that of Mohammad Nagar residential area but it did not differ significantly. This might be due to proximity of the two residential areas. Among ten selected characteristics of the respondents; education, family education, annual family income, exposure to communication media, nutritional knowledge, animal protein consumption behavior and attitude showed positive significant relationship with their awareness regarding the effect of antibiotic used in animal feed on human health. Consumers in the study area are concerned about the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on human health.

Key Words: Consumers' awareness, antibiotic, animal feed, human health.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human health is directly related to the environment and in particular the nature and quality of food (Reference). Quality of food from animal products is gaining concern from widely concerning public health agencies around the world since antibiotics and veterinary drugs have played an important role in the field of animal husbandry and agro-industry. At present, the occurrences of residues in increasing form and resistance have become burning issues [1].

Antibiotics and veterinary medicinal products (VMPs) are crucial to meet the challenges of supplying sufficient quantity of food for the vast and fast growing world population as drugs

Comment [JNJ1]: I suggest objective rather than aim. Aim is usually a single item but it can have several objectives.

Comment [JNJ2]: I don't understand

41 improve the rate of weight gain, improve feed efficiency, prevent and treat diseases in food
42 producing animals [2]. The safe and effective use of antibiotics in animal production has
43 received considerable attention in most of the countries in the world [3]. Human health can either
44 be affected by the residues of drugs in food of animal origin, which may cause direct side effects
45 or indirectly through selection of antibiotic resistance bacteria that may spread to human [4, 5,
46 6]. Resistant microorganism can get access to human, either by direct contact or indirectly
47 through milk, meat, and egg. It is documented that drug resistant bacteria such as *Salmonella*,
48 *Campylobacter* and *Staphylococcus* from food of animal origin were developed by human beings
49 [5, 6].

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

50 In general, harmful effects of antibiotics and drugs residues on health, which may be mutagenic,
51 carcinogenic, reduction in reproductive performance, drug allergy and acute toxicity or
52 poisoning in human [1, 7, 8]. Drug low-level contamination generally may not generate a
53 violation problem on human health. However, extensive use of drugs may increase the risk of an
54 adverse effect of residues on the consumer including the occurrence of antibiotic resistance. In
55 this study an attempt has been made to find out the effects of antibiotics used in animal feed on
56 human health and how far the consumers are aware of this issue in the selected areas of Khulna
57 district.

Comment [JNJ3]: Restructure the sentence

Comment [JNJ4]: ???

58 **The study was conducted to fulfill the following objectives:**

- 59 i. To analyze the selected characteristics of the consumers.
- 60 ii. To determine consumers' awareness regarding the effect of antibiotics used in animal
61 feed on human health.
- 62 iii. To explore relationships between the selected characteristics of the consumers and their
63 extent of awareness regarding the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on human
64 health.

65 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

66 2.1 Design and Locale of the Study

67 The present study was a descriptive and diagnostic type of research. It was designed to study
68 consumers' awareness regarding the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on human health.
69 The study was based on collection of data by door to door interviewing of the respondents. The
70 study was conducted at Mohammad Nagar residential area under Batiaghata upazila of Khulna
71 district and Nirala residential area of Khulna City Corporation, Khulna, Bangladesh.

Comment [JNJ5]: This is data collection as already described below

72 2.2 Population and Sampling

73 All the household heads of Mohammed Nagar and Nirala residential areas of Khulna were
74 considered as the population of the study. Forty family heads from each of the residential areas
75 were interviewed as the sample of the study. Thus, the sample size stood 80.

Comment [JNJ6]: The authors should describe or mention the sampling method of how they selected the 40 heads in each locality. Similarly, sample size determination is not explained

76 2.3 Data Collection and Processing

77 -The primary data were collected by the researcher herself through face-to-face
78 interview using interview schedule during/within January–February, 2019. Reviewing
79 related studies, the researcher–authors considered some of the selected characters of the
80 respondents as independent variables for the study. The characteristics were age, educational
81 qualification, family size, family education, annual income, exposure to communication media,

82 nutritional knowledge, animal protein consumption behavior, training exposure and attitude
 83 | towards antibiotics used in animal feed. Consumers' awareness regarding the effect of antibiotics
 84 used in animal feed on human health was considered as dependent variable in this study.

85 All qualitative data were converted into quantitative form by means of applying some
 86 appropriate scoring technique. In several instances, indices and scales were constructed through
 87 the simple accumulation of score assigned to individual or pattern of attributes.
 88

Comment [JNJ7]: The authors should describe the technique so that others can verify or refute the approach in the future

89 **2.3.1 Measurement of Selected Characteristics (Independent Variables)**

90 The measurement of selected characteristics (independent variables) is shown in Table 1.

91 **Table 1. Measurement of selected characteristics (independent variables)**
 92

Selected characteristics (independent variables)	Measuring Unit
Age	Actual year
Educational qualification	Years of schooling
Family size	Number
Family education	Years of schooling
Annual income	'000'BDT
Exposure to communication media	Score
Nutritional knowledge	Score
Animal protein consumption behavior	Score
Training exposure	Score
Attitude	Score (following Likert scale)

Comment [JNJ8]: I doubt if this could really serve. It is better you use a score. How is it to be differentiated with family education?

Comment [JNJ9]: As above

93
 94 **2.3.2 Measurement of Consumers' Awareness (Dependent Variable)**

95 | To determine consumers' awareness, five (5) statements related to the effects of antibiotics used
 96 in animal feed on human health were incorporated in the interview schedule. To determine the
 97 awareness score of the respondents a five point rating scale such as strongly agree, agree,
 98 undecided, disagree and strongly disagree were employed against the five (5) statements and a
 99 score of 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 was employed against the scales respectively. The awareness score of a
 100 | respondent would range from 5 to 25, where '5' indicate low awareness and '25' indicate high
 101 awareness. Based on awareness score, the respondents were categorized into three groups as low
 102 awareness (≤ 8), medium awareness (9-16) and high awareness (>16). To compare among
 103 statements, an awareness index (AI) was calculated using following formula:

104 $AI = N_{sag} \times 5 + N_{ag} \times 4 + N_{ud} \times 3 + N_{da} \times 2 + N_{sda} \times 1$

105 Where,

106 AI = Awareness Index

107 N_{sag} = Number of respondents rated the impact as strongly agree

108 N_{ag} = Number of respondents rated the impact as agree

109 N_{ud} = Number of respondents rated the impact as undecided

110 N_{da} = Number of respondents rated the impact as disagree

111 N_{sda} = Number of respondents rated the impact as strongly disagree

112 | The awareness index (AI) score ~~w~~ould range from 80-400 where 80 indicates low awareness
 113 | and 400 indicates high awareness on a particular statement regarding the effect of antibiotics
 114 | used in animal feed on human health.

115 | For better understanding of the relative position of the statement, the AI score was converted to
 116 | percentage using following formula:

$$117 \quad \quad \quad \text{Observed AI Score} \\ 118 \quad \% \text{ AI} = \frac{\quad \quad \quad}{\text{Highest Possible AI Score}} \times 100 \\ 119$$

120 **2.4 Data Analysis**

121 Data were compiled, tabulated and analyzed based on the objectives of the study. Different
 122 statistical treatments such as number, mean, standard deviation, range, minimum, maximum,
 123 rank order and percentage were used to describe the variables. To explore relationship between
 124 ~~any two~~ variables, Pearson Product and Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficients ~~(for interval~~
 125 ~~and ratio type of data) and in some cases Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient (for ordinal~~
 126 ~~type of data)~~ were used. Data analysis was done using the ~~concerned software~~ Statistical Package
 127 for Social Science (SPSS) 20.

Comment [JNJ10]: This can be summarized as descriptive statistics

Comment [JNJ11]: I don't think this is the reason for using the different correlation tests, rather when your data is not normalized.

128 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

129 **3.1 Facts on the Selected Characteristics of the Consumers (Respondents)**

130 | Data presented in Table 2 indicate that majority (51.3%) of the respondents ~~w~~ere as young ~~aged~~
 131 | and highest proportion (41.3%) of the respondents had secondary level of education. Highest
 132 | proportion (45%) of the respondents' family had secondary level of education followed by
 133 | higher secondary (27.5%) and graduate (25%). Majority (70%) of the respondents had small
 134 | sized family, belonged to high income group (57.5%), had medium exposure to communication
 135 | media (72.5%), had medium nutritional knowledge (61.3%) and consumed high amount of
 136 | animal protein (62.5%). Most (90%) of the respondents did not receive any training on human
 137 | health especially the effects of antibiotics used in animal feed on human health and had
 138 | moderately favorable attitude (80%).

139 **Table 2. Distribution of the respondents according to their selected characteristics (N=80)**

Selected Characteristics	Categories	Score	Respondents (N=80)		Mean	SD	Range	
			Number	Percentage			Min.	Max.
Age (Years)	Young aged	≤ 35	41	51.3	38.08	12.85	16	70
	Middle aged	36-50	24	30				
	Old aged	> 50	15	18.8				
Education (Years of schooling)	Illiterate	0	0	0	12.34	3.61	1	17
	Primary	1-5	3	3.8				
	Secondary	6-10	33	41.3				
	Higher Secondary	11-12	10	12.5				
	Graduate	13-16	18	22.5				
	Post graduate	>16	16	20				
Family size	Small	1-4	56	70				

(No. of members)	Medium	5-6	22	27.5	4.2	0.97	2	7
	Large	≥7	2	2.5				
Family education (Years of schooling)	Illiterate	0	0	0	10.21	2.69	3	15.5
	Primary	1-5	2	2.5				
	Secondary	6-10	36	45				
	Higher secondary	11-12	22	27.5				
	Graduate	13-16	20	25				
Annual family income (BDT "000")	Post graduate	>16						
	Low income	≤200	3	3.8	422.93	185.07	180	960
	Medium income	201-350	31	38.8				
Exposure to communication media (score)	High income	>350	46	57.5				
	No Exposure	0	0	0	14.63	3.94	6	23
	Low exposure	1-9	10	12.5				
	Medium exposure	10-18	58	72.5				
Nutritional knowledge (score)	High exposure	>18	12	15				
	No knowledge	0	0	0	8.84	3.05	2.5	16
	Poor knowledge	Up to 6	20	25				
	Medium knowledge	7-12	49	61.3				
Animal protein consumption behavior (score)	High knowledge	13-18	11	13.8				
	Low consumption	1-5	2	2.5	10.61	2.07	4	14
	Medium consumption	6-10	28	35				
Training exposure	High consumption	>10	50	62.5				
	Yes		8	10				
Attitude (score)	No		72	90				
	Less favorable	≤ 10	1	1.3	17.7	3.31	10	28
	Moderately favorable	11-20	64	80				
	High favorable	21-30	15	18.8				

140

141 **Table 3. Rank order of sources of animal protein based on animal protein consumption**
 142 **index**

Source of animal protein	APCI		Rank order
	Score	Percentage	
Egg	204	85%	2 nd
Milk	195	81.25%	3 rd
Chicken	210	87.5%	1 st
Beef	143	59.58%	4 th
Mutton	96	40%	5 th

143 **APCI= Animal protein consumption index

144 | Among the sources of animal protein, chicken ranked 1st (APCI= 210, percentage= 87.5%)
 145 | compared to other sources of animal protein and mutton ranked last (APCI=96,
 146 | percentage=40%). This might be due to the low and high market price of chicken and mutton,
 147 | respectively.

148 | **3.2 Consumers' Awareness regarding the Effect of Antibiotics Used in Animal Feed on**
 149 **Human Health**

150 The computed scores of awareness of the respondents ranged from 14 to 24 with mean and
 151 standard deviation of 18.93 and 2.63 respectively. According to the scores on awareness, the
 152 respondents were distributed into three groups as shown in Table 4.

153

154

155 **Table 4. Distribution of the respondents according to their awareness**

Categories	Score	Respondents (N=80)		Mean	Standard Deviation	Range	
		Number	Percentage			Min.	Max.
Low awareness	≤ 8	0	0				
Medium awareness	9-16	16	20.0	18.93	2.63	14	24
High awareness	> 16	64	80.0				

156 Most (80%) of the respondents were highly aware about the effect of antibiotics used in animal
 157 feed on human health. Only one-fifth (20%) of the respondents had medium awareness about the
 158 effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on human health (Table 4). Therefore, it is clear that, all
 159 the respondents were more or less aware about the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on
 160 human health. The findings of the present study have harmony with the findings of Mallick and
 161 Mondol [9]. They conducted a study on farmers' awareness regarding deforestation at Jalma
 162 union of Batiaghata upazila under Khulna district of Bangladesh.

163 **Table 5. Rank order of the statements related to antibiotics used in animal feed and their**
 164 **effect on human health based on Awareness Index (AI)**
 165

Sl. No.	Statements	AI*		Rank Order
		Score	Percentage	
1.	Resistance grow against the antibiotics which are used in patient treatment	327	81.75%	1 st
2.	Some antibiotics can cause stomach upset and other gastrointestinal side effect	286	71.5%	4 th
3.	Allergic reaction and painful rash are possible with many antibiotics	271	67.75%	5 th
4.	Some antibiotics may cause cancer.	320	80.00%	2 nd
5.	Many antibiotics may adversely affect human fertility	307	76.75%	3 rd

166 ** AI= Awareness Index

167 Data presented in Table 5 indicate that consumers were highly aware about ~~that~~ the resistance
 168 ~~that~~ is grown against antibiotics which are used in patient treatment (AI=327, rank= 1st).
 169 ~~But~~ However, consumers were less aware ~~about that~~ allergic reaction and painful rash are
 170 possible with many antibiotics (AI=271, rank= 5th).

171 | The mean awareness score of the consumers residing at Nirala residential area (x=19.65) was
 172 | higher than that of the Mohammad Nagar residential area (x=18.2). ~~But~~Nevertheless, it did not
 173 | differ significantly (t=1.99). This might be due to proximity of the two residential areas.

174 | 3.3 Relationship between the Selected Characteristics of the Respondents and Their 175 | Awareness Regarding the Effect of Antibiotic Used in Animal Feed on Human Health

176 | The purpose of this section is to determine the relationships of the selected characteristics of the
 177 | respondents with their awareness regarding the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on
 178 | human health. The selected characteristics of the farmers included: age, educational
 179 | qualification, family size, family education, exposure to communication media, nutritional
 180 | knowledge, animal protein consumption behavior and attitude towards antibiotic used in animal
 181 | feed. Each of the above characteristics constituted an independent variable while consumers'
 182 | awareness regarding the effect of antibiotic used in animal feed on human health was the only
 183 | dependent variable in this study. Relationships of the nine selected characteristics of the
 184 | respondents with their awareness have been presented in the Table 6.

185 | **Table 6. Correlation between the selected characteristics of the respondents and their
 186 | awareness regarding the effect of antibiotic used in animal feed on human health**

Independent variable (selected characteristics)	Dependent variable (focus variable)	Correlation coefficient	Remark
Age		0.055 NS	PPCC
Education		0.520**	PPCC
Family size	Consumers' awareness regarding the effect of antibiotic used in animal feed on human health	-0.147 NS	PPCC
Family education		0.419**	PPCC
Annual family income		0.426**	PPCC
Communication media exposure		0.619**	SRCC
Nutritional knowledge		0.725**	PPCC
Animal protein consumption behavior		0.310**	SRCC
Attitude		0.663**	SRCC

187 | NS= Non-significant, **Correlation highly significant at 1% level of probability and *Correlation highly significant
 188 | at 5% level of probability, PPCC = Pearson's Product Moment co-efficient of correlation, SRCC = Spearman Rank
 189 | Correlation Coefficient.

190 |
 191 | Among the selected characteristics of the respondents; education, family education, annual
 192 | family income, exposure to communication media, nutritional knowledge, animal protein
 193 | consumption behavior and attitude showed positive significant relationship with their awareness
 194 | regarding the effect of antibiotics used in animal feed on human health. It means that education,
 195 | family education, annual family income, exposure to communication media, nutritional
 196 | knowledge, animal protein consumption behavior and attitude increase awareness of consumers
 197 | also increases. Similar results were also found by Sultana *et al.* [10]; Sultana *et al.* [10] also found

198 | [similar results](#) regarding age. The findings of the studies conducted by Hasan [11], Shanto [12]
199 | and Khatun [13] have harmony with the present study regarding educational qualification.
200 | Similar result was described by Hasan [11], Hoque [14] and Mallick and Mondol [9] regarding
201 | family size. The findings of the studies conducted by Hasan [11] Shanto [12] and Khatun [13]
202 | have similarity with the present study regarding annual family income. Hasan [11] and Shanto
203 | [12] observed similar result regarding exposure to communication media. The findings of the
204 | studies conducted by Hoque [14], Hasan [11] and Jalal [15] have harmony with the present study
205 | regarding knowledge.

206

207 | 4. CONCLUSION

208 | ~~Based on the finding of the study and its' logical interpretation it can be concluded that most of~~
209 | ~~the respondents were highly aware about the effect of antibiotic used in animal feed on human~~
210 | ~~health. Only one fifth of the respondents had medium awareness about the effect of antibiotic~~
211 | ~~used in animal feed on human health. Consumers were highly aware about that resistance is~~
212 | ~~grown in pathogenic organisms causing diseases in human body against antibiotics which are~~
213 | ~~used in patient treatment resulting in treatment failure. But consumers were less aware about~~
214 | ~~allergic reaction and painful rash which are possible with many antibiotics. The mean awareness~~
215 | ~~score of the consumers resided at Nirala residential area was higher than that of the Mohammad~~
216 | ~~Nagar residential area but it did not differ significantly. This might be due to proximity of the~~
217 | ~~two residential areas. Among the selected characteristics of the respondents; education, family~~
218 | ~~education, annual family income, exposure to communication media, nutritional knowledge,~~
219 | ~~animal protein consumption behavior and attitude showed positive significant relationship with~~
220 | ~~their awareness regarding the effect of antibiotic used in animal feed on human health.~~ In pursuit
221 | of the findings and observations, it is clear that the consumers in the study area are concerned
222 | about the effect of antibiotic used in animal feed on human health. Government and the producer
223 | should develop new strategies for a prudent use of antibiotics in food producing animals to
224 | ensure food safety.

Comment [JNJ12]: Scientific interpretation of the findings is necessary.

225

REFERENCES

- 226 | 1. Beyene T. Veterinary drug residues in food-animal products: its risk factors and potential
227 | effects on public health. Journal of Veterinary Science & Technology. 2016;7:285.
228 | DOI:10.4172/2157-7579.1000285.
- 229 | 2. Jayalakshmi K, Paramasivam M, Sasikala M, Tamilam TV, Sumithra A. Review on
230 | antibiotic residues in animal products and its impact on environments and human health.
231 | Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies.2017;5(3):1446-1451.
- 232 | 3. Swatantra S, Shukla, Tandia N, Nitesh K, Paliwal R. Antibiotic Residues: A global
233 | challenge. Pharma Science Monitor. 2014;5(3):184-197.
- 234 | 4. Hassali MA, Ho RY, Verma AK, Hussain R, Sivaraman S. Antibiotic use in food animals:
235 | Malaysia overview. School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University Sains Malaysia, 11800
236 | Minden, Penang, Malaysia; 2018.

Comment [JNJ13]: Incomplete reference

- 237 5. Chang Q, Wang W, Regev-Yochay G, Lipsitch M, Hanage W P. Antibiotics in agriculture
238 and the risk to human health: how worried should we be? *Evolutionary*
239 *Applications*.2014;8:240-247.
- 240 6. Landers TF, Cohen B, Wittum TE, Larson EL. A review of antibiotic use in food animals:
241 perspective, policy, and potential. *Public Health Report*. 2012;127:4-22.
- 242 7. Prajwal S, Vasudevan VN, Sathu T, Irshad A, Nayankumar SR, Pame K. Antibiotic residues
243 in food animals: Causes and health effects. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2017;6(12):01-
244 04.
- 245 8. Falowo AB, Akimoladun OF. Veterinary drug residues in meat and meat products:
246 occurrence, detection and implications;2019. DOI: org/10.5772/intechopen.83616.
- 247 9. Mallick A, Mondol S. Farmers' awareness regarding deforestation at Jalma union of
248 Batiaghata upazilla under Khulna district of Bangladesh. B.Sc. Ag. (Hons.) Thesis,
249 Agrotechnology Discipline, Life Science School, Khulna University, Khulna; 2017.
- 250 10. Sultana H, Sultana N, Ahmed DMB, Islam MR. Farmers' awareness about Bangladesh
251 agricultural research institute (BARI) innovated agricultural machineries: a case study of
252 Meherpur district. *The journal of rural development*.2012;37(2):91-105.
- 253 11. Hasan MM. Use of organic manure: farmers' awareness and environmental consideration.
254 M.S. Thesis, Department of Environmental Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University,
255 Mymensingh; 2008.
- 256 12. Shanto HH. Awareness of the farmers on environmental pollution due to use of pesticides in
257 vegetable cultivation. M.S. (Ag. Ext. Ed.) Thesis, Department of Agricultural Extension
258 Education, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh; 2011.
- 259 13. Khatun H. Farmers' awareness about the impact of using pesticide on environment at
260 Iswaripur union under Shyamnagar upazilla of Satkhira district. B.Sc. Ag. (Hons.) Thesis,
261 Agrotechnology Discipline, Life Science School, Khulna University, Khulna; 2017.
- 262 14. Hoque MK. Environmental awareness and problem confrontation of the FFS farmers in
263 practicing IPM. M.S. (Ag. Ext. Ed.) Thesis, Department of Agricultural Extension Education,
264 Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh; 2001.
- 265 15. Jalal MS. Farmers' awareness of the use of indigenous technical knowledge (ITK) for
266 sustainable agricultural development. M.S. (Ag. Ext. Ed.) Thesis, Department of Agricultural
267 Extension Education, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh; 2009.

Comment [JNJ14]: Incomplete reference