



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_50561
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Feeding Roasted Canarium schweinfurthii Seed Meal on Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chicken
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	In line 34: I suggest including information on protein content, metabolizable energy, lysine, methionine, calcium, phosphorus that was used in the formulation of diets. In line 49: I suggest including information on seed roasting, temperature, time, quality control, and the reason for roasting (author). Also, indicate what type of scale used (brand, model, and approximation) to weigh the food, chickens and organs evaluated. In table 3: The letters abc (means on the same line with different superscripts are significantly different, p <0.05) are not included. In discussion: Expand discussion of results with authors. In conclusion: It should be noted that the use of levels greater than 5% affect weight gain.	
Optional/General comments	The study determined the performance and carcass characteristics of broilers fed diets containing toasted seed meal of Canarium schweinfurthii, which is important for broiler production systems in Nigeria. I suggest that once the observations are raised, it will be published in the journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Castro Bedriñana
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú, Peru