



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	<b>ajrb 50773</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Theoretical Insight into Preferential Interaction Issues and Solution Structure, and Contentious Apparent Hydrated Molar Volume of Cosolute.</b>
Type of Article:	<b>theoretical and experimental</b>

**PART 2:**

<b>FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to final evaluator's comments</b>
<p>The authors made some effort to improve the presentation, but the language remains poor, sometimes strange and still hard to follow.</p> <p>(Ex. „ exclusion of the osmolyte from the surface (the peptide back born) of the protein” It's backbone, not back born!! „The issue remains effects of hydration and solvation or osmolation” I did not understand this phrase. „Hence, the combined biophysical model and biochemical model expressed via kinetic model” ??? I can only guess what the meaning should be.)</p> <p>I say again: this is not my domain of competence; therefore I did not attempt to check on the validity of the mathematical treatment. I nevertheless followed the story till the end and found it interesting enough to merit publication. The authors are quite aggressive and seem unwilling to further improve their manuscript; therefore the paper might be printed as it is.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Horea Porumb</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Paris 13, France</b>