

#### SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_50849
Title of the Manuscript:	Haemolytic Potential of Ethanolic Leaf extract of Talinum triangulare in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, c and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is m should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	The title of the manuscript contradicts the outcome of the experiment. The result shows no hemolytic property rather it indicates enhanced hemopoesis in the animal.	
	The result section of the abstract should be re- written.	
	The author informed that samples were prepared for biochemical analysis but results were not presented.	
	Discussion is too elaborate. Author should make write a concise discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments	Indicate animal treated with normal saline as control animal in the write-up.	
Optional/General comments		

#### <u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreen highlight that part in the should write his/her feedb
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeyemi Adebayo
Department, University & Country	Babcock University, Nigeria

er, correct the manuscript
is mandatory that authors

reed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors dback here)