



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_50804
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE OF DECISION TREE, SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE AND PROBABILISTIC NEURAL NETWORK CLASSIFIERS IN A MOBILE BASED DIABETES RETINOPATHY DETECTION SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Research Paper is Accepted with following Minor Revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract- Highlight Aim/Objective of the paper. In the last line, highlight what results are attained and in what percentage and in what parameters. 2. Introduction- Make the Introduction broad, Add Objectives of the paper and Highlight the Organization of the paper. 3. Related Works- Highlight the Gaps Identified in the paper after Related Works. 4. Architecture diagram should be made more broad and detailed. 5. Add the following references to the paper: <p>Alzubi, J., Nayyar, A., & Kumar, A. (2018, November). Machine learning from theory to algorithms: an overview. In <i>Journal of Physics: Conference Series</i> (Vol. 1142, No. 1, p. 012012). IOP Publishing.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anand Nayyar
Department, University & Country	Duy Tan University, Vietnam