SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCOS_50804**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE OF DECISION TREE, SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE AND PROBABILISTIC NEURAL NETWORK CLASSIFIERS IN A MOBILE BASED DIABETES RETINOPATHY DETECTION SYSTEM**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nothing	
Minor REVISION comments	Nothing	
Optional/General comments	In "3.1 Data Acquisition", you wrote that training data is1200 images in Messiodor data base and test data is 130 images in RetiDB". If so, you should write that you used 130 images in RetiDB to obtain Table 1 in "4.1.1 Classification Results".	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Kunio Takezawa*
Department, University & Country	*Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, NARO(NIAES), Japan*