SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRCS_49863**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFECTS OF SPATIAL ARRANGEMENTS AND FERTILIZERS ON PRODUCTIVITY IN MAIZE (Zea mays) and CELOSIA (Celosia argentea) INTERCROP**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Abstract** It is necessary to revise the abstract It is necessary to revise complex sentences as they are complicated that a reader will get lost trying to follow its meaning. It is necessary to revise the objective of the study It is necessary to revise the results of the study including other parameters e.g. Leaf area and Stem girth **Keywords** It is necessary to revise the keywords **Introduction** It is necessary to revise the objective of the study **Materials and Methods** It necessary to revise study site, experimental design and data collection. It necessary to revise steps followed to measure yield components (number of leaves, plant height, stem girth, and leaf area) because it helps the reader to better understand how each yield component is recorded. **Results** Within the paragraph of results it is necessary to **present synopsis of results** direct and reasonably consistent. Within the paragraph of results it is necessary to include the **stated parameters** 1. Leaf area 2. Stem girth It is necessary to revise Tables of results and include standard error of means **Discussion** Within the paragraph of discussion it is necessary to include the **stated points** direct and reasonably consistent; • Explanation of results • References to previous research It is necessary to revise long sentences and use sentences with short, simple words and phrases. It is necessary to revise old citation and use latest citation Within the paragraph of discussion it is necessary to include the **stated parameters** 1. Cob weight per plot 2. Total yield per plot 3. Fresh weight of spinach	

Comment [U1]: Include the procedure for sampling/recording number of leaves

Comment [U2]: Include the procedure for sampling/recording plant height

Comment [U3]: Include the procedure for sampling/recording leaf area

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Conclusion It is necessary to revise conclusion and interpreted in relation to the results **References** It is necessary to revise references and follow the Journal guidelines for references.	
Minor REVISION comments	Check formatting and punctuations Check long sentences and eliminate mistakes in grammar and usage. Revise old citation	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very relevant and has potential but requires major revisions and English Language Specialist before it can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Poloko Emmanuel Mosebi***
Department, University & Country	***National University of Lesotho, Lesotho***