



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_50382
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the Ploidy Level Diversity by Chloroplast Counts in Stomatal Guard Cells of Potato Mutants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled, "Assessment of the Ploidy Level Diversity by Chloroplast Counts in Stomatal Guard Cells of Potato Mutants" is an important piece of work describing the Ploidy Level variation in the mutants lines of potato. This type of work not new in this crop. But importantly the authors have done excellent efforts. Figures and Tables are presented properly. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript title should be revised as Assessment of the Ploidy Level Diversity by Chloroplast Counts in Stomatal Guard Cells of Mutants cultivars/varieties of Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.) 2. Results and discussion should be separated. 3. Most of the references in the manuscript are old include recent references in the revised manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R K Lal</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>CSIR-CIMAP, Lucknow, India</i>