



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_48102
Title of the Manuscript:	Mid and submontane altitude forests communities on the West hillside of mount Bambouto (Cameroon): Floristic originality and comparisons
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract. Publicize the corresponding outstanding results The diversity index, specific richness and the endemism rate values.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION. Respect the numerical order of the quotations from authors in gerarquicos terms.</p> <p>METODOLOGY</p> <p>STUDY SITE. The study area lacks edaphic information about topography and vegetation type.</p> <p>Sampling and collection method. Specify the simple design.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The Aims of This work are to carry out a comparative study of the floristic composition, diversity, vegetation structure and phytogeographic analysis of the Fossimondi-submontane forest and the Bangang mid altitude forest species.</p> <p>The manuscript has been structured correctly from the view of methodological with a solid exerimental design. The results on the species richness, abundance and dominance, the specific diversity, Frequency, basal area and dominance, the diameter classes and the Phytogeographic distribution of taxa, were properly interpreted and compared with similar results of other authors. All results have been interpreted with statistical support.</p> <p>The discussion was raised correctly and interpreted with updated references.</p> <p>In summary the present manuscript provides relevant information about the floristic composition, diversity, vegetation structure and phytogeographic analysis of forest.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahim Foroughbakhch
Department, University & Country	Univ. of Nuevo Leon, Mexico