SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_50290
Title of the Manuscript:	NEWLY EMERGING CANDIDA SPECIE: SHOULD CLINICIANS AND MYCOLOGIST BE CONCERNED?
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	REFERENCES at the end of the paper are not to the style of the journal. The references are NOT in Harvard style. All REFERENCES at end of the paper are to be numbered in the sequence they are presented in the text (see web page for journal). REFERENCES in the text should be numbered in order of presentation and in brackets (i.e. [3]). Shouldn't title be "species": NEWLY EMERGING CANDIDA SPECIES:	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is a useful minireview that reports some very important features of various CANDIDA species that are clinically very important. The information is clinically important. Authors should correct references in the text and at end of manuscript, then publish.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ron Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)