

1 **Comparative Efficacy of Varied Concentrations imidacloprid in**
2 **the Laboratory Management of Termites (*Microtermes natalensis*)**

3
4

ABSTRACT

5 Imidacloprid is a termiticide that is **slow** slow-acting timber and soil applied but can be
6 **systematically** transferred in the worker castes of termites. The **main** objective of this study
7 **is was** to determine efficacy of imidacloprid **in the** control of termites on sand.
8 **Termiticides were tested at Different concentrations of Imidacloprid imidacloprid 200g/l**
9 **concentrations (2 mlml/L, 4 mlml/L, 6 mlml/L and 8 mlml/L) were tested.** Sand was
10 used as the only mode of application in the control of *Microtermes natalensis*. **The**
11 **experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design carried out on laboratory with**
12 **five treatments and three replicates. Data analysis was performed using special**
13 **statistical software called STATA version 13. Pearson's Chi square test was performed**
14 **to compare proportions between factors. The results were reported in terms of tables**
15 **and figures.** However, termites attacked all untreated wood blocks regardless of wood
16 species. It was concluded that Imidacloprid at concentration of 6 ml/L serves as the best
17 concentration threshold required in the control of termites on sand in the management of
18 termites. It was recommended that soil is effective mode of applying imidacloprid termiticide
19 integrately given that the right concentration levels are utilized.

Comment [C1]: Confuse, rewrite

Comment [C2]: Which results? Explain

20 *Keywords: Comparative; efficacy; concentrations; imidacloprid; management; termites.*

21
22

1. INTRODUCTION

23 Termites are an essential member of the soil ecosystem and are found **throughout the**
24 **worldwide**. Their presence is **particularly** noticeable in tropical and subtropical regions
25 where they represent a significant portion (10%) of the animal biomass [1], **when the estimate**
26 **is refined to include only soil insect biomass this value rises to 95%.** The natural activities of
27 termites help to improve soil pH, organic carbon content, water content, and porosity [2]. By
28 improving and adjusting these soil parameters termites assist in creating conditions conducive
29 to primary production, in this process they cause considerable losses to crops, trees, and
30 wooden work in buildings [3]. **These t**Termites are **classified** defined as serious pests which

Comment [C3]: Rewrite

31 cost millions of dollars in annual control [4]. Insecticide application is an effective strategy
32 for termite control.

33 Soil termiticides are used to treat soil to establish a toxic zone against termite
34 penetration [5]. Termites remain alive for days on imidacloprid-treated sand and if termites
35 are removed from the treatment, are able to recover. [6] Reported that, this species are the one
36 cause's serious damage to buildings, agricultural crops and trees.

Comment [C4]: Which species?

37 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

38 2.1 Description of Study Site

39 The research was carried out at the Forest Products Research Centre of the Kenya Forestry
40 Research Institute (KEFRI) located at Karura Forest, Nairobi.

41 2.2 Experimental Design

42 The experiment was carried out in Aug 2017. The experiment was laid out in a Randomised
43 Block design carried out in the laboratory with five treatments and three replicates. Testing
44 was carried out using imidacloprid at the mass concentration of 200 g/l L and fipronil 25 g/l
45 L with the latter being the experimental standard. The Protocols for Assessment of Wood
46 Preservatives; A production of the Australian Wood Preservation Committee (AWPC) (2007
47 revision) was used. The test species used were *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Grevillea robusta*.
48 The treatments using imidacloprid at 200 g/l L mass concentrations were carried out at four
49 concentrations (2 mlmL/L, 4 mlmL/L, 6 mlmL/1 L and 8mL/L) and fipronil 25 g/l L mass
50 concentration was carried out at 10 mlmL/1 L concentrations.

Comment [C5]: Revise the title. In this study two insecticides were tested.

Comment [C6]: Why only concentration for fipronil?

51 2.3 Study Sample

52 The test chemical, imidacloprid 200 g/l L was tested at four concentrations – 2 mlmL/L, 4
53 mlmL/L, 6 mlmL/1 L, and 8ml8mL/L. imidacloprid 200 g/l L were tested against an
54 approved and registered chemical known as Fipronil fipronil 25 g/l L that is used at
55 concentrations of 10 ml/l. A total of 72 wood samples were used in the study.

Comment [C7]: This information is repeated in section 2.2. Combine or delete

56 2.4 Laboratory Experimentationtest (Protocol)

57 The *E. grandis* and *G. robusta*, timber were sawn into cubes of about 1 cm³ cubes. The cubes
58 were labelled by giving each code number, weighed and recorded. The numbers of wooden
59 blocks were 72_cubes. After that the cubes were subjected into a temperature of 161°C in oven
60 for 24 hours. Then the weights were recorded. Sand were treated with imidacloprid 200 g/l
61 with concentrations of 2ml/l, 4ml/l, 6ml/l and 8 ml/l, Fipronil 25 g/l concentration of 10 ml/l
62 whereby the sand were treated with twenty millilitres, at 3 cm radius . Untreated sand serves as
63 control .Untreated wood blocks measuring 1cm³ were put onto the treated sand in each of the
64 bottles. Then subterranean termites of the species *natalensis* from a single colony
65 comprising of 360 females and 40 males were introduced according to a procedure adapted
66 from AWPA E1-97 standard (Standard method laboratory for evaluation to determine
67 resistance to subterranean termites, 1997).The test bottles were then kept in an incubator at
68 temperatures between 25-28 °C for one month .Out of untreated wood blocks, the samples
69 that were exposed to termites were 3 at each concentration.

Comment [C8]: Again, which species?

70 2.5 Data Analysis

71 Descriptive statistics for measures of central tendency such as mean and standard deviation
72 was used in summarizing continuous variables which assume normality distribution. Data
73 analysis was performed using special statistical software called STATA version 13. Pearson's
74 Chi square test was performed to compare proportions between factors. The results were
75 reported in terms of tables and figures.

76 3. Results

77 In this research there was a total 72_(100%) woods categorized into two equal numbers
78 of wood species, each assuming 36_(50%) proportion, All wood blocks were proportionally
79 divided into six groups where 60 (83%) different woods species were tested on treated sand
80 under five different levels of concentrations and the remaining 12_(16.7%) different woods
81 species tested under untreated sand were regarded as control group. All those woods were

82 factored out into three identified replicates that is, S1, S2 and S3, whereby each replicate had
 83 captured a total of 24 (33.33%) wood blocks .

Comment [C9]: This is confuse, Why did not you use Anava?

84 **Table 1:** Displays the distributions of various Characteristics studied.

Characteristic studied		Sample (%)
Wood replicates	S1	24(33.33%)
	S2	24(33.33%)
	S3	24(33.33%)
	Total	72(100%)
sand treatments	T1 or 2mls/lit	12(16.67%)
	T2	12(16.67%)
	T3	12(16.67%)
	T4	12(16.67%)
	T5	12(16.67%)
	T6 or control group	12(16.67%)
	Total	72(100%)

85
 86 Descriptive analysis presented the mean weight loss for wood blocks under treated sand as
 87 follows *E.grandis* 0.003_(Std:0.02) and *G. robusta* 0.013(Std:0.04) while the mean weight
 88 loss for untreated woods under untreated sand were as follows *E.grandis* was 0.1_(std:0.06)
 89 and *G. robusta* was 0.216_(std:0.147) .There was significant evidence to suggest that at least
 90 one of the treatment concentrations which had been used to control termites from woods
 91 block attack was different from the responsiveness of other treatment. From that it was noted
 92 that at least one of untreated wood under treated sand had been slightly attacked by *M.*
 93 *natalensis* termites, P-value=0.0308. But when the adjustment of replicates was applied then
 94 the results changed to be insignificant, P-value=0.6325

95

96 **Table 2: Summary statistics on weight loss of wood species exposed to *M. natalensis***
97 **termites.**

Wood species	Mean	Std	Sample	P-value
Treated <i>E.grandis</i>	0.003	0.02	30	0.0308
Treated <i>G. robusta</i>	0.013	0.04	30	
Control <i>E.grandis</i>	0.1	0.06	6	
Control <i>G. robusta</i>	0.216	0.147	6	

98

99 From the results above woodblocks treated with **2ml2mL/1 L** of imidacloprid were slightly
100 attacked but the one treated with **4ml4mL/1 L** and above were not attacked.

101

4. DISCUSSION

102 Despite recent advances in the treatment of woods against subterranean termites by using bait
103 technologies more destroyed woods and greater deforestation was found to prevail, a problem
104 which enforced the researcher in this study to exploit other methods of treatment application
105 on woods. Furthermore, this research investigated on an appropriated concentration threshold
106 to apply during control of termites. An effective concentration threshold was found to be 4
107 mills per liter (4 **MIML/L**) when imidacloprid was applied.

108 In this study, it was found that sand were effective in controlling all termites species from
109 destroying wood an information which contrasts the use of bait technologies as suggested in
110 [7-8]. Finding in this study seems to support an earlier study which found that termite control
111 largely depends on the use of soil termiticides for the prevention and treatment of structural
112 infestations [9].

113

5. CONCLUSIONS

114 | In laboratory test, imidacloprid at concentration rate of 2 **Mlml/L** were ineffective in the
115 | control of *M. natalensis*. There was insignificant difference in weight loss among timbers
116 | treated with different concentration level of imidacloprid at the rate of 200g/**L**, although the
117 | *E. grandis* timbers treated with a concentration level of 2 mills per litre of water were
118 | destroyed by termites. Imidacloprid at concentration of 4 **Mlml/L** serves as the best
119 | concentration threshold required in the control of *M. natalensis* termites treated sand in the
120 | laboratory management of termites. However, termites attacked all untreated wood blocks
121 | regardless of wood species. **Soil were**Soil was found to be the most effective mode of
122 | application in the control of *M. natalensis* termites.

123

6. RECOMMENDATION

124 | In this research it was suggested that the best concentration threshold to be used to control
125 | and even prevent any termites from destroying woods was **4 milliliters (4Ml4mL/L)** of
126 | imidacloprid, That level of concentration was found to the cheapest and more effective, hence
127 | stops termites from destroying woodblocks this can be achieved so long as recommended
128 | concentrations threshold would be applied. It was also discovered that **4 milliliters per litre**
129 | **(4Ml4mL/L)** were the best concentration threshold required to prevent and control *M.*
130 | *natalensis*, on treated sand in the laboratory management of termites.

131

132

COMPETING INTERESTS

133

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

134

135

REFERENCES

- 136 1. Donovan, S.E., P. Eggleton, W.E. Dubbin, M.Batchelder and L. Dibong, 2001. The
137 effect of a soil-feeding termite, *Cubitermes fungifaber* (Isoptera: Termitidae) on soil
138 properties: Termites may be an important source of soil microhabitat heterogeneity in
139 tropical forests. *Pedobiologia*, 45:1-11. DOI: 10.1078/0031-4056-00063 EPA, 1993.
140 National Primary Drinking.
- 141 2. Dawes, T.Z., 2010. Reestablishment of ecological functioning by mulching and termite
142 invasion in a degraded soil in an Australian savanna. *Soil Biol.Biochem.*, 42: 1825-
143 1834. DOI:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.06.023
- 144 3. Ahmed S., Qasim M., 2011 - Foraging and chemical control of subterranean termites
145 in a farm building at Faisalabad,Pakistan. - *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.* 9: 58-62.
- 146 4. Ahmed M.A.I, Eraky S.A., Fakeer M., Soliman A.S. 2014. Toxicity assessment of
147 selected neonicotinoid pesticides against the sand termite, *Psammotermes hypostoma*
148 *Desneux* workers (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) under laboratory conditions. *Australian*
149 *Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 8 (9): 238–240.
- 150 5. Saran, R. K., and M. K. Rust. 2007. Toxicity, uptake, and transfer efficiency of
151 fipronil in western subterranean termite (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *J. Econ.*
152 *Entomol.*100: 495-508.
- 153 6. Manzoor, F., Chaudhary, M., Sheikh, N., Khan, I.A. And Khan, T., 2011. Diversity
154 and proportion of termite species in garden trees and wheat crop in District Bhakkar,
155 Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 43: 537-541.
- 156 7. Gautam BK, Henderson G, Davis RW, 2012Toxicity and horizontal transfer of
157 30.5%fipronil dust against Formosan subterranean termites. *Journal of econom.*;
158 *105(5):1766-1772.*
- 159 8. Rust MK, Su NY. 2012. Managing social insects of urban importance. *Annu. Rev.*
160 *Entomol.*57:355-375.

161 9. Gahlgoff, J.E.J. & Koehler, P.G. (2001) 'Penetration of the eastern subterranean
162 termite into soil treated at various thicknesses and concentrations of Dursban TC and
163 Prmis 75'. *J Econ Entomol* 94 pp. 486-491.

UNDER PEER REVIEW