



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_50259
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey of Insect Vectors in Some Selected Dumpsites in Gombe Metropolis, Nigeria, Western Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The present work is a very big work (the authors have worked a lot of, and it was not a pleasant work). Also, it is an important work; it concerns a big problem and has incidence on the humans' health. Such a work must be published, and even more (explained in newspapers, at the television, the radio, etc...). The references are wonderful !!!! very numerous and important. The work has been very well conducted: the sites selected, the insects collected, the insects determined, and the dumpsites described.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I have made some corrections directly on the paper, writing in red and barring the passages to delete. I hope the authors will take into account all my changes in order to improve their paper.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Is it possible to add in the paper some photos of the dumpsites, of the insects, and of ill humans (babies for instance). This should largely improve the paper. This should show the importance of the problem relative to refuse, dumpsites, and similar things. Please, add 'horrible' photos, for 'opening the eyes' of people. Thanks in advance.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Marie-Claire Cammaerts
Department, University & Country	University of Brussels, Belgium