



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_50325
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARING THE NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION OF SOME EDIBLE INSECTS AND SOME ANIMAL MEATS IN NORTH-WESTERN STATE OF NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors should consider rewriting the conclusion. While specific insects were considered and compared against meats from specific vertebrates the conclusion simply states that insects can be used to supplement vertebrate meats. Is that not known already? Your study is valued because it has information on specific insect nutrient values that used the similar methods against vertebrate meats. What comparisons do you find of interest among the insects? How about the vertebrates? In materials and methods please explain where the vertebrate meats came from. In results please provide specific details on meats.</p> <p>On line 58 the authors comment on a survey to determine the favourite edible insects. Where is this information?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 14 change grounded to ground; Line 24 change sentence to read....can meet the protein requirement of the human body...;Line 60 Desert misspelled, Variegated misspelled;Line 62 All species designations should be lower case—africana, spp. Should not be italicised, it is not Latin; Lines62-65 very wordy and redundant, simply state that the beetle was not used for this study. Table 1: all species names should be lower case; same for Table 2</p> <p>Material and methods on vertebrate meats need to be added</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gregg Henderson</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Louisiana State University, USA</b>