

Original Research Article

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

EFFECT OF POWDERED AND COMPOSTED MEAT BONES ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF WATER SPINACH (*Ipomoea aquatica*)

Abstract:

For agriculture the most important is the soil's function as a base and medium for plant growth. Soil health and soil condition is important and the management of soil organic matter is an important part of managing soil health and maintaining soil condition. The experiment was carried out in the field lab (Net House) of Soil, Water and Environment discipline, Khulna University, Bangladesh. Total 21 plastic pots were used to continue the experiment with 7 treatments. For the experiment the meat bones were collected and used in experimental pot along with soil in different doses. This research has revealed that meat bones both powdered and composted, has significantly increased the growth parameters of water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) such as number of leaves, shoot length, fresh weight, dry weight and moisture content.

Keywords: Soil Organic Matter, Meat bones, Water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*), Pot experiment

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Indent: Left: -0.45", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 14 pt, Bold

Formatted: Normal, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 14 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

1. Introduction

Agriculture is the most important sector of the economy of Bangladesh contributing about 23% of the country's GDP and employing about 62% of the total labor force. Bangladesh, after independence, has adopted various measures to raise the vegetable production for tackling the malnutrition problem in the country. Nevertheless, vegetable production has marginally increased from 7.4 lakh tons in 1974/75 to 9.2 lakh tons in 1987/88 with year to year fluctuations (GOB 1985 to GOB 1989).

Water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) also known as 'kalmi shak' (in Bengali) is one of the popular vegetables that is promoted to grow in Bangladesh due to its good nutritive value, antioxidant properties, high fiber content and many other health related benefits (Hongfei, 2011; Kala and Prakash, 2004; Faruq *et al.*, 2002; and Ogle *et al.*, 2001). It is a vascular semi-aquatic herbaceous perennial plant belonging to *Convolvulaceae* (USDA, 2005). It has a hollow and viny stem, grows prostrate or floating, and roots coming from the nodes that penetrate the soil. Water spinach is native to the tropics and subtropics of Southeast Asia, Southern China and India (Gothberg, *et al.*, 2005 and Chen *et al.*, 1991). It is a green vegetable and is ranked high among the world's healthiest foods and there are plenty of reasons for it being so. It is one of the ideal options to manage weight and to lower the

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

37 cholesterol levels. It consists of rich amounts of iron that is required by the red blood cells
38 during process of hemoglobin formation. So, people who are suffering with anemia should
39 include their diet with this iron rich leafy vegetable (Gupta *et al.*, 2005).



40
41 **Figure 1: Water spinach.**
42

43 For agriculture the most important is the soil's function as a base and medium for plant
44 growth. Soil health and soil condition is important and the management of soil organic matter
45 is an important part of managing soil health and maintaining soil condition. Most of the soils
46 of Bangladesh have low organic matter content, usually less than 2% (Bhuiyan, 1994). A
47 good soil should have at least 2.5% organic matter but in Bangladesh, most soils have less
48 than 1.5% and some soils have even less than 1% organic matter (BARC, 2005). The pressure
49 on the management of soil organic matter is increasing as costs of inputs for agriculture
50 increase and the capacity and ability to overcome soils in poor condition by adding more
51 fertilizer, adding one more cultivation, adding one more irrigation or adding another input are
52 diminished (MacEwan, 2007). The ultimate source of organic matter for most soils is through
53 the fixation of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere through photosynthetic reactions by
54 plants. There is also a very small input from autotrophic bacteria. However, in some instances
55 there may also be some input from industrial and mining products derived from petroleum or
56 coal. At the broad scale these sources of soil carbon are insignificant. Soil organic matter is
57 derived from organic materials that are added to the soil and the majority of soil organic
58 matter derives from the breakdown of residues remaining after plants have died. These
59 residues can take the form of root residues located in the soil matrix or leaves, stems and
60 stubble existing as litter on the soil surface. Animals also provide a proportion of the soil
61 organic matter to varying degrees depending on management and the ecosystem (Tate, 1987).

62 | Additions of large amounts of organic materials as composts or as biochar can increase the
63 | levels of soil organic matter in soils (Gibson *et al.*, 2002). Soils are used as a filter or sink for
64 | effluent and waste materials. The wastes produced from meat bones can be used as such types
65 | of organic materials as the replacement of compost or fertilizers.

66 | Maximum people eat various types of meat daily. There are some particular occasions such
67 | as wedding ceremony, some religious festivals like Eid, Puja etc which is incomplete without
68 | meat. A lot of meat bones can be found after these ceremonies. If we use these bones as
69 | fertilizers for plant growth then the waste load will be controlled. Usually, beef bones,
70 | however, other types of creature like chicken or pigeon bone meal or emulsion can be used to
71 | prepare meat bone fertilizer. The processed bone meal goes through to become a powder or
72 | compost will quickly kill off many pathogens.

73 | Meat and bone meal (MBM) can be a viable alternative to mineral fertilizers because it
74 | contains about 8% Nitrogen (N), 5 % Phosphorus (P), 1 % Potassium (K) and 10 % Calcium
75 | (Ca) (Ylivainio *et al.*, 2007), which makes it a valuable source of nutrients for plant
76 | production. It has about 50% protein, 35% ash, 8-12% fat, and 4-7% moisture, and contains a
77 | big amount of nutrients. Chemical properties of Meat Bone Materials (MBM) vary a lot from
78 | different raw materials. On average, the pH tends to be acidic, about 6.5. Organic matter in
79 | content is about 50% (Jeng *et al.*, 2006). Besides a great deal of phosphorous, bone meal also
80 | provides smaller amounts of essential nitrogen, potassium and calcium. As the bone meal
81 | breaks down over the season, it will steadily and slowly release these nutrients for plant
82 | uptake. The advantageous aspects of slow release nutrition are that plant roots will not burn,
83 | and that soil vitality is increased for extended periods (Cayuela *et al.*, 2009).

84

85 | 1.1. Objectives

86 | ■ To minimize the rate of waste load by using the meat bones as organic fertilizer which
87 | is environmental friendly as well as to improve soil health

88 | ■ For determining the effectiveness of different types of meat bones in water spinach
89 | cultivation

90 | ■ To assess the effect of composted and powdered meat bones on the growth and
91 | yield of water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*).

92

93 | 2. Methods and Materials

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Normal, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

94 | **2.1. Location of study area**

95 | The experiment was carried out in the field lab (Net House) of Soil, Water and Environment*
96 | discipline, Khulna University, Bangladesh.

97 | **2.2. Collection and preparation of soil**

98 | The soil for our research was collected from the research field of Soil, Water and*
99 | Environment Discipline, Khulna University. Spade, plastic bag were used for collecting the
100 | soil. Then the soil was air dried at room temperature and all the plant debris were removed
101 | manually. Then the massive aggregates were broken by gentle crushing by a hammer. Then
102 | the soil was sieved by 2mm sieve.

103 | **2.3. Preparation of pot**

104 | After sieving the soil was taken into pots for sowing the seeds. Total 21 plastic pots were
105 | used to continue the experiment. The pots were cleaned and labeled. Each Pot was filled with
106 | 3kg sieved soil. Pots had no pore in its bottom to protect the leaching of meat bone fertilizers
107 | from the soil. The experiment was started on 24th June, 2018.

108 | **2.4. Powdered and composted meat bones preparation**

109 | The meat bones were collected after eating. Then some of the bones were crushed into*
110 | powder form with the help of crusher (Haman dista). To prepare meat bone compost, bones
111 | (pigeon, chicken, and beef) were crushed and transferred it into a plastic bag. Sufficient
112 | amount of water was added into it to degrade the meat bones and kept it by digging soil into
113 | 30cm depth. After 60 days (4th June – 4th August) the compost was ready to be applied into
114 | my experiment. 10g powdered and composted meat bones were applied into each pot. 5g was
115 | mixed up with the soil before sowing the seeds and the rest 5g was added when the seeds
116 | were grown up to plants.

117 | **2.5. Treatments of investigation**

118 | Seven treatments were used in the experiment.

120 | T₀ = Control

121 | T₁ = 500 kg ha⁻¹ powdered beef bone

122 | T₂ = 500 kg ha⁻¹ powdered chicken bone

123 | T₃ = 500 kg ha⁻¹ powdered pigeon bone

124 | T₄ = 500 kg ha⁻¹ composted beef bone

125 | T₅ = 500 kg ha⁻¹ composted chicken bone

126 | T₆ = 500 kg ha⁻¹ composted pigeon bone

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

127
128
129
130
131
132
133

134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153

2.6. Sowing of the seeds

The seeds were sown on 24th June, 2018. The seeds were sown thoroughly as it was possible to keep uniformity and then the seeds were covered by soils. 0.01 g seeds (5 kg ha⁻¹ as recommended by BARI, 2005 for trial experiment) were sown in each pot and maximum seeds germinated within 5 days. After germination only five plants were kept in each pot.



Figure 2: Sowing the seeds of water spinach.

2.7. Intercultural operations

2.7.1. Watering

For normal plant growth, sufficient amount of water was added regularly to each pot for maintaining optimum moisture.

2.7.2 General observation

The pots under experiment were frequently observed to note any change in the crop growth and other characteristics. The crop growth was very satisfactory in some treatments. But some treatments showed lower number of plants.

2.7.3 Harvesting

The experimental crops were harvested after 40 days of germination. The harvested plants were tagged separately, weighted, oven dried at 65^oC temperature for 24 hours until moisture content reached to a minimum condition. The dried material of plants per pot from each treatment was collected.

2.8. Morphological attributes of water spinach

2.8.1. Number of leaves

The number of leaves of five plants of each pot was counted and average value was taken.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Normal, Left, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

154 | **2.8.2. Shoot length (cm)**

155 | Shoot length was measured using a measuring scale from root level to the tip of the plant.
156 | From each pot five plants were measured and averaged.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

157 | **2.8.3. Root length (cm)**

158 | Root length was measured using a measuring scale from root level to the tip of the longest
159 | root at harvest and their average value was taken as the root length in cm.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

160 | **2.8.4. Fresh weight per plant (gm)**

161 | Harvest of five plants from each pot, fresh weight of whole plant was taken by an electrical
162 | balance and their mean value was calculated as fresh weight expressed in gm/plant.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

163 | **2.8.5. Dry weight per plant (gm)**

164 | Five plants from each pot were collected and oven dried at 65⁰C for 48 hours, weighed in
165 | gm/plant by an electrical balance and average value was recorded.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

166 | **2.8.6. Moisture content (%)**

167 | Percent moisture was calculated by using the formula:

168 | Moisture content (%) = $\frac{W_f - W_o}{W_f} \times 100$

169 | Where,

170 | ----- W_f = Fresh weight of the plant sample

171 | ----- W_o = Oven dry weight of the plant sample

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

172 | **2.9. Statistical analysis**

173 | The collected data on different parameters were represented in bar diagram by Microsoft
174 | office excel program 16.0 and statistically analyzed following analysis of variance (ANOVA)
175 | technique. Statistical analysis was performed by using MINITAB 18 statistical package.

176 | **3. Result and Discussion**

177 | Effect of powdered and composted meat bone on the growth and yield of Water spinach
178 | (*Ipomoea aquatica*) was studied following pot experiment. The number of leaves per plant,
179 | shoot length per plant, root length per pant, fresh weight per plant, dry weight per plant and
180 | percent moisture content were measured for the plants treated with 10g powdered and
181 | composted meat bone and compared with plants grown with no meat bone which was control
182 | experiment. The data of morphological attributes are presented in Table 1.

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

187 | **Table 1:** Observed growth factors of the experimented water spinach for different treatments.

188

<u>Treatments</u>	<u>No. of leaves /plant</u>	<u>Root length /plant (cm)</u>	<u>Shoot length /plant (cm)</u>	<u>Fresh weight /plant (g)</u>	<u>Dry weight /plant (g)</u>	<u>Moisture content (%)</u>
T ₀	8	8.81	17.64	1.09	0.08	64.36
T ₁	9	9.31	24.32	1.56	0.37	76.49
T ₂	9	9.98	24.25	1.23	0.32	73.85
T ₃	8	10.93	18.65	1.17	0.35	70.25
T ₄	9	12.65	22.01	2.67	0.40	84.97
T ₅	11	13.51	24.96	3.47	0.52	85.05
T ₆	10	13.91	23.93	3.30	0.48	85.39

189 | **Table 1:** Observed growth factors of the experimented water spinach for different treatments

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines


190

192 3.1. Number of leaves per plant

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

193 The number of leaves of water spinach was significantly influenced by different treatments in
 194 maximum cases. The highest number of leaves was found in T₅ (10.73) (Table 1). The results
 195 exhibited that there was insignificant difference in T₁, T₂, T₄ and T₆ compared to the control
 196 experiment T₀ and significant difference was found in T₃ and T₅ (Figure 3). Application of
 197 composted chicken bone showed significant increment of the number of leaves in water
 198 spinach whereas application of other meet bone fertilizers did not show any positive effect on
 199 the number of leaves of water spinach. On the basis of the number of leaves of plants,
 200 application of composted chicken bone is the best for using and it is recommended to use as
 201 soil amendment for the growth of such type of leafy vegetables like water spinach.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines



202


203 | **Figure 3:** Effect of powdered and composted meat bone on leaves number of Water
204 | spinach.

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing:
1.5 lines

205 |

206 | 3.2. Root length per plant (cm)

207 | Length of root per plant ranged from 8.81cm to 13.91cm and highest length of root found in
208 | T₆ (13.91cm) (Table 1). Length of root varied significantly in T₆ compared with control
209 | treatment T₀ and there was insignificant difference among T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ (Figure 4).
210 | Distinct difference was found between T₀ and T₆. So, application of compost of pigeon bone
211 | showed significant increment of length of root in water spinach whereas application of
212 | powdered chicken bone, beef bones did not show any positive effect on the root length of
213 | water spinach. On the basis of the length of root of plants application of composted pigeon
214 | bone is the best for uses and it can be recommended for the plants.



Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt,
Line spacing: 1.5 lines

215 |

216 | **Figure 4:** Effect of powdered and composted meat bone on root length of water
217 | spinach.

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing:
1.5 lines

218 |


219 | 3.3. Shoot length per plant (cm)

220 | Length of shoot per plant ranged from 17.64 cm to 24.96 cm. and highest length of shoot was
221 | found in T₅ (24.96cm) (Table 1). There was insignificant relationship between T₁, T₂ and T₆
222 | but significant difference was found in T₅ compared with the control treatment T₀ (Figure 5).
223 | So, application of composted chicken bone showed significant increment of length of shoot in
224 | water spinach whereas application of other meat bone fertilizers did not show any positive
225 | effect on the shoot length of water spinach. On the basis of the length of shoot of plants

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt,
Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing:
1.5 lines

226 application of composted chicken bone is the best for uses and it is recommended for the
227 plants.



228
229 **Figure 5:** Effect of powdered and composted meat bone on shoot length of water
230 spinach.

231 3.4. Fresh weight per plant (g)

232 Fresh weight per plant ranged from 1.09g to 3.47g and highest fresh weight of plant was
233 found in T₅ (3.47g) (Table 1). Fresh weight varied significantly in T₄, T₅, T₆ compared with
234 control treatment T₀ but there was insignificant difference between T₀, T₁, T₂ and T₃ (Fig.
235 4.4.) The observation was T₅ > T₆ > T₄ > T₁ > T₂ > T₃ > T₀. So, application of compost of
236 chicken bones showed significant increment of fresh weight in water spinach whereas
237 application of meat bones and pigeon bones did not show any positive effect on the fresh
238 weight. On the basis of the fresh weight of plants application of composted chicken bone is
239 the best for uses and it is recommended for the leafy vegetables.


240

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt,
Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing:
1.5 lines

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt,
Line spacing: 1.5 lines


Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing:
1.5 lines



241
242 **Figure 6:** Effect of powdered and composted meat bone on the fresh weight of water spinach.

243
244 **3.5. Dry weight per plant (g)**

245 Dry weight per plant ranged from 0.08g to 0.52g and highest dry weight was found in T₅
246 (0.52g) (Table 1). Dry weight varied significantly in all treatments compared to the control
247 treatment T₀ and there was insignificant difference between T₂ and T₃ as well as T₁ and T₄
248 (Figure 7). So, application of compost of chicken bone showed significant increment of the
249 dry weight in water spinach whereas application of other meat bone fertilizers did not show
250 any positive effect on the dry weight. On the basis of dry weight of plants application of
251 composted chicken bone is the best for using and it is recommended for the plants.



252
253 **Figure 7:** Effect of powdered and composted meat bone on dry weight of water spinach

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines


Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266

3.6. Moisture content per plant (%)

Moisture content per plant ranged from 64.36% to 85.39% and highest moisture content found in T₆ (85.39%) and lowest moisture content observed in T₀ (Table 1). The observations are T₆ > T₅ > T₄ > T₁ > T₂ > T₃ > T₀. The following figure shows significant differences when control experiment is compared with other treatment and significant differences are found in T₁ and T₃ but insignificant relationship was found among T₄, T₅ and T₆ treatments (Figure 8). So, application of compost of pigeon bone showed significant increment of moisture content of water spinach whereas application of chicken bone, beef bones both powdered and composted did not show any positive effect on moisture content of water spinach. On the basis of the moisture content of plants application of composted pigeon bone is the best for using and it can be recommended for the plants.



267
268
269

Figure 8: Effect of powdered and composted meat bone on moisture content of water spinach.

270
271

4. Summary and Conclusion

272
273
274
275
276
277
278

Powdered and composted meat bones contain sufficient nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium which are capable to enhance the growth rate of plant. This research has revealed that meat bones both powdered and composted, has significantly increased the growth parameters of water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) such as number of leaves, shoot length, fresh weight, dry weight and moisture content. The result showed that these parameters are mostly increased by using composted chicken bones compared to that of other treatments. Only root length and moisture content of water spinach is increased by

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

279 using composted pigeon bones. The highest vegetative growth was found by using composted
280 chicken bones and lowest vegetative growth was found at control experiment. So, the use of
281 composted chicken bone has potential to show highest response on the growth and yield of
282 water spinach and other types of leafy vegetables.

283 | So, it is recommended for the formers to use composted meat bones specially composted
284 chicken bones for the improvement of soil quality and to improve its productivity. On the
285 contrary, the load of waste from meat bones will be reduced and will help in waste
286 management. In the same time the use of costly and harmful chemical fertilizers will be
287 reduced and will introduce organic farming which is environment friendly and beneficial for
288 human health.

289

290 | **5. References**

291 | BARC (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council), 2005. Fertilizer Recommendation
292 | Guide. pp.22, 48, 174.

293 | Bhuiyan, N.I. 1994. Crop production trend and need of sustainability in agriculture. A paper
294 | presented in a three-day long workshop on integrated nutrients management for
295 | sustainable agriculture held at SRDI, Dhaka, June, 26-28.

296 | Cayuela, M.L.; Sinicco, T. and Mondini, C. 2009. Mineralization dynamic and biochemical
297 | properties during initial decomposition of plant and animal residues in soil. *Applied*
298 | *Soil Ecology Journal*. 41: 118–127.

299 | Chen, B.H.; Yang, S.H. and Han, H. 1991. Characterization of major carotenoids in water
300 | *Convolvulus (Ipomoea aquatica)* by open-column, thin layer and high-performance
301 | Liquid chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography*, 534: 147-155.

302 | Faruq, U.Z.; Sani, A. and Hassan, L.G. 2002. Proximate composition of sickle pod (*Senna*
303 | *obtusifolia*) leaves. *Nigerian Journal of Applied Science*, 11:157-164

304 | GOB (1985): Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh 1984-1985, Dhaka:
305 | Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics.

306 | GOB (1989): Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh 1987-1988, Dhaka:
307 | Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics.

308 | Gibson, T.S.; Chan, K.Y.; Sharma, G. and Shearman, R. (2002). Soil Carbon Sequestration
309 | Utilizing Recycled Organics - A review of the scientific literature. Project 00/01R-
310 | 3.2.6A. The Organic Waste Recycling Unit, NSW Agriculture. Report prepared for
311 | Resource NSW.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.5",
Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

- 312 | Gothberg, A.; Greger, M. and Bengtsson, B. 2005. Accumulation of heavy metals in water
313 | Spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) cultivated in Bangkok region, Thailand. *Environmental*
314 | *Toxicology and Chemistry*, 21: 1934-1939.
- 315 | Gupta, S.; Lakshmi, A.J.; Manjunath, M.N. and Prakash, J. 2005. Analysis of nutrient and
316 | antinutrient content of underutilized green leafy vegetables. *LWT Food Science*.43:
317 | 11–21.
- 318 | Hongfei, F. 2011. Evaluation of antioxidant activities of principal carotenoids available in
319 | water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*). *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 24(2):
320 | 288-297.
- 321 | Jeng, A.S.; Haraldsen, T.K.; Gronlund, A. and Pedersen, P.A. 2006. Meat and bone meal as
322 | nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer to cereals and rye grass. *Nutrient Cycling in*
323 | *Agroecosystems*, 76: 183-191.
- 324 | Kala. A. and Prakash, J. 2004. Nutrient composition and sensory profile of differently cooked
325 | green leafy vegetables. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 7: 659-669.
- 326 | MacEwan R.J. 2007. Soil Health for Victoria's Agriculture- Context, Terminology and
327 | Concepts. MIS 07898 Final Report. Department of Primary Industries Research
328 | Victoria Bendigo, State of Victoria.
- 329 | Ogle, B.M.; Ha-Thi, A.G.; Mulokozi, G. and Hambraeus, L. 2001. Micronutrient composition
330 | and nutritional importance of gathered vegetables. *Vietnam International Journal of*
331 | *Food Science and Nutrition*, 52: 485-499.
- 332 | Tate, Robert L. 1987. Soil Organic Matter – Biological and Ecological Effects. John Wiley
333 | and Sons, New York.
- 334 | USDA (The United States Department of Agriculture), 2005. *Ipomoea aquatica* Forssk.
- 335 | Ylivainio, K.; Uusitalo, R. and Turtola, E. 2007. Meat bone meal and fox manure as P
336 | sources for ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) grown on a limed soil. *Nutrient Cycling in*
337 | *Agroecosystems*, 81: 267-278.
- 338 |

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing:
1.5 lines