



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_48717
Title of the Manuscript:	DEEPLY ANALYSIS ON FRENCH RULES OF CONFLICT OF LAWS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article corresponds to journal's topics. The abstract exceeds 300 words in length. I believe it is necessary to include some more papers in this field in the reference list for a more pertinent analysis. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets Missing reference list at the end of paper. Very interesting topic, well structured. The coverage of the topic is sufficiently comprehensive and balanced. The title and the abstract correspond to journal's standards and seem appropriate and adequate. The terminology seems correct. The overall organization of the paper is satisfactory. The conclusion is harmonized with the article's objectives.	
Minor REVISION comments	The author should improve the reference list	
Optional/General comments	I have no observations for this section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jana Maftai
Department, University & Country	Danubius University Galati, Romania