



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_48225
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF LETROZOLE VS CLOMIPHENE CITRATE AS FIRST LINE FOR ANOVULATORY INFERTILITY– AN INSTITUTIONAL EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- Statistical Analysis – WHICH – Spearman???Pearson????- VERY IMPORTANT!!!</p> <p>2- COMPARABLE GROUPS???? DATAS???</p> <p>3- EXPLAIN- 1 TO 13 – DURATION OF INFERTILITY AND AGE OF FEMALE????</p> <p>4- EXPLAIN HOW TO REGULARIZE CYCLES BEFORE INDUCTION</p> <p>5- IF POSSIBLE: P VALUE AND “POWER” OF SIGNIFICANCE (EX: RHO)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	DISCUSSION – TALK ABOUT CAUSE OF ANOVULATORY CYCLES (that cases with other factors leading on to anovulation)	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Juliano Augusto Brum Scheffer</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>IBRRA, Brazil</i>