

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_50749
Title of the Manuscript:	Tetranacci and Tetranacci-Lucas Quaternions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the mar his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 -Conclusion section must be added. -Abstract is too short. It should be further expanded by making use of previous studies. - Proofs of (2.9), (3.5), (2.13), Theorem 9 and Theorem 10 must do clearly. Because related theorems are main theorems. - Binet's formula of the Tetranacci-Lucas quaternion (in Theorem 12) must prove. 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally good written. The manuscript can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed that part in the manuscript. It is feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fevzi Yaşar
Department, University & Country	Gaziantep University, Turkey

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her