



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_45579
Title of the Manuscript:	The protozoan Tetrahymena: cellular model for biological studies
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is very well-marked that this study is acceptable with minor revision and useful for publish in this journal. It may be an incremental contribution of the manuscript to the field.</p> <p>In addition to, please add some papers and you can use them in 4.4 Oxidative stress Please see below for papers.</p> <p>Sevindik M. Investigation of Oxidant and Antioxidant Status of Edible Mushroom Clavariadelphus truncatus. Mantar Dergisi 2018;9(2):165-168.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Sevindik
Department, University & Country	Akdeniz University, Turkey