



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_45798
Title of the Manuscript:	CONTINUOUS MONITORING of ARTERIAL BLOOD PRESSURE and PULSE: COMPARISON of MORNING and EVENING READINGS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an experiment extremely difficult to organize in multiple subjects. The author admits that this is a single-subject time series, but, having in mind that the time axis is measured in years, it is not a disadvantage. Otherwise, the results are extremely interesting and contain valuable information, not only for medical people but also for the other researchers.	
Minor REVISION comments	I suppose that the paper should be reformatted before the publishing. The text is squeezed, and there should be at least a line of separation between the Figure and the Text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dragana Bajic
Department, University & Country	University of Novi Sad, Serbia