



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_46879
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF SPONDIAS MOMBIN LEAVES ON PITUITARY-GONADAL AXIS OF MALE WISTAR RATS.
New title:	Influence of Ethanolic Extracts of <i>Spondias Mombin</i> (Anacardiaceae) Leaves on Pituitary- Gonadal Axis of Male Wistar Rats.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The reviewer thanks the author(s) for addressing the concerns raised in the initial review. Upon review of the revised manuscript, the reviewer has the following comments/notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although abbreviations for Table 3 are defined, many of these values (TP, ALB, CRT, UREA) are neither mentioned nor discussed in the Results or Discussion sections. If they are unimportant, they should not be included in the data set. <p>Minor comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The period should be removed from the end of the title. The subheading "2:1 Statistical analysis" is not needed (line 52). The sentence following (lines 53-54) can be added to the rest of the paragraph in section 2. The abbreviations defined beneath table 3 (lines 120-122) should be included in the table caption, not beneath the table. This should also be done with the statements regarding presentation of data as mean \pm SEM. The new labels in Fig. 1 are only somewhat helpful. The arrows should be maintained, but the letters/numbers could be remove and shrunk. Although the authors state in their comment form that they have included information regarding all items assessed in Table 3, they have included no new text. 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jennifer R Schroeder
Department, University & Country	Millikin University, USA