



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_46992
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL FERMENTATION OF GLYCIN MAX Utilization of Glycine max: comparing bacterial and fungal fermentation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This MS deal with the effect of fermentation on nutritional composition of soybean fermented products, tempeh and soy-iru. Results indicated that not only nutritional qualities of soybeans enhanced but also contents of anti-nutrition such as phytic acid and trypsin inhibitor significantly reduced by fermentation. It seems that MS may be worth publishing, however there are many mistake in it. Author(s) need to revise in the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title needs to revise to reflect the study. 2. Tables 1 and 2. Proximate composition such as moisture, proteins, fats, carbohydrates, and ash. These results should be provided as that the total of percentages of these components equals 100 percentages. 3. Figures 1,2, and 4. It needs to correct y-axis. 4. All figures. It needs to indicate x-axis correctly. 5. References. It needs to rewrite according to author's instruction. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Takeshi Nagai
Department, University & Country	Graduate School of Yamagata University, Japan