



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	2019/ARRB/48346
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOCHEMICAL AND HISTOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF ALCOHOL ADMINISTRATION IN WISTAR RATS
Type of Article:	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Nothing was done about the title of the manuscript. As regards the changes suggested on the abstract, nothing was done about them; instead, a new dimension was introduced in the abstract. The abstract is now partitioned into: introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion and conclusion; which is not to be. One is only expected to bear these in mind while writing the abstract and not to involve them as such. There is a positive response to suggestions in page 2, and one other place in page 4; these are just grammatical errors. Where technical suggestions were made, nothing was done about them. Is as if the whole thing was returned the way i highlighted them in yellow. In the photomicrograph, an additional plates for kidney and lung were added, which do not make any sense and is a far cry from the initial suggestions about photomicrograph.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher Chiedozie Mbadugha
Department, University & Country	University of Uyo, Nigeria