



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_50344
Title of the Manuscript:	PCR Detection of Mixed and Zoonoses Malaria using Plasmodium spp Dynein Light Chain (dlc-tctex) Gene.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Ms ARRB 50334 can be accepted despite the fact that the methods used in PCR are obsolete and now superseded by RealTime Pcr, a technique that could give a result of greater relevance to the data presented by the authors, eliminating any doubts that arise when amplification presents non-specificity. In gene amplification studies it is useful to report in the table the sequence of the primers used, both for the target gene and for the housekeeping if present. In the text there are various typing errors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Franco Cervellati
Department, University & Country	University of Ferrara, Italy