



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2014_BJAST_14005</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative of the yield and essence of peppermint (<i>Mentha piperita</i> L.) at first and second harvest time under the effect of organic and chemical fertilizers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This is one of the toughest paper that I have reviewed, and not because of its contents but because of atrocious language. I strongly recommend that authors must get the paper checked by someone who has a good command over English language.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	There is only major revesion in this paper	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The research problem is very interesting and the authors have been successful in drawing conclusions from there work.	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<i>Tunira Bhadauria</i>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<i>Feroz Gandhi College, Kanpur University, India</i>