



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Education, Society &amp; Behavioural Science</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2014_BJESBS_14884</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Indigenous Socio-political and Cultural Similarities in Africa: The Case of the Igbo of Nigeria and the Jola of The Gambia</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific research work</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is well written and well drawn, however we can make some observations.</p> <p>Must be incorporated into the theoretical framework" the art of discussion" on the subject-problem addressed.</p> <p>The author should also try to transcend the narrow field of ethnographic description type which allows some degree of problematization of the object and the findings.</p> <p>First Comment: The investigation of Similarities of sociopolitical and cultural in two ethnic groups in Africa, does not transcend the purely ethnographic approach. Although the author, (authors) conduct a comparison of the two groups to find similarities, but there is not an analysis that takes into account a structural approach and the broader socio-cultural context; Therefore the approach is purely descriptive.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is necessary to review Some specific statements that deal with the origin of social stratification, for example.</p> <p>I think that it is possible to avoid excess descriptivism and incorporate more analysis and interpretation of the findings-</p> <p>Second comment: the theoretical approach does not consider the inclusion of the "state of the art of discussion."</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Some theories may serve as a reference in understanding still very traditional ethnic groups; segmental groups theory and cultural relativism theory, for example. On the other hand we have the structuralist theory of Levy-Straus for the study of non-historical societies guided for the myths.</p> <p>Third comment: Since this is a study of cultural similarities that have survived, is necessary to involve theoretical approaches that are based on this phenomenon. Thus we have the theory of sociocultural change that takes into account the processes of aculturation taking place in the contact of western society with traditional societies.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This is a good research for its development and nature of the findings.</p> <p>Fourth comment: In this paper we can find statements that do not take into account social structures like the following: "The aristocratic thinking emanates from perceptions of inequality evident in nature itself....." p- 13</p> <p>We know from Marx that social stratification arising from socioeconomic structures basically.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Francisco Rodríguez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad de Oriente, Venezuela</b>