



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJESBS_14884
Title of the Manuscript:	Indigenous Socio-political and Cultural Similarities in Africa: The Case of the Igbo of Nigeria and the Jola of The Gambia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Indigenous Socio-Political and Cultural Similarities in Africa: The case of the Igbo of Nigeria and the Jola of Gambia.</p> <p>Compulsory Reviewers Comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Relevance of the study: this study does not in any way state the relevance of the study. So what if people of different countries share a similar culture, or similar cultural aspects? The U.S. and the U.K do; so what? One does not have to read that in a journal article. Travellers, students, people who watch TV, etc. see the similarities. What does knowing that there are similarities add to existing knowledge or help a reader? (2) Social Science education or research usually set out with a research inquiry, or research questions, or a hypothesis. The study under review fails to do that. Besides informing the reader that there are similarities, one does not know the basis of knowledge of such similarities. (3) The author (s) does not inform of his/her research methodology. What is the methodology employed to arrive at the conclusion that the two cultures are similar? Any pedestrian could come to this conclusion. (4) There is no literature review. References to 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>other works are sparse, and at times outdated. In essence, the following have to be addressed: Research methods Research questions or hypothesis Literature review Recommendations/ policy implications.</p> <p>This manuscript has to be drastically revised to reflect a scholarly manuscript. In that regard, it has to explain, the purpose of the study, its relevance, research questions/hypothesis, methodology- be it archival, observational, face to face, group interviews, explain findings, explain recommendations, policy, social programs that can be generated based on the studies.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Victoria Time,</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Old Dominion University, U.S.A</i>